Preparing For and Trying the Civil Lawsuit

Second Edition

Editors-in-Chief
Neil A. Goldberg, Esq.
John P. Freedenberg, Esq.

Volume Two

New York State Bar Association Continuing Legal Education publications are intended to provide current and accurate information to help attorneys maintain their professional competence. Publications are distributed with the understanding that NYSBA does not render any legal, accounting or other professional service. Attorneys using publications or orally conveyed information in dealing with a specific client's or their own legal matters should also research original sources of authority.

We consider the publication of any NYSBA practice book as the beginning of a dialogue with our readers. Periodic updates to this book will give us the opportunity to incorporate your suggestions regarding additions or corrections. Please send your comments to: CLE Publications Director, New York State Bar Association, One Elk Street, Albany, NY 12207.

The New York State Bar Association wishes to acknowledge the generous contribution of Mead Data Central, Inc. in donating access to the LEXIS®/NEXIS® services and Auto-Cite® feature for our use in the preparation of our reference materials. For information about NYSBA's Membership Group discount program on the LEXIS®/NEXIS® services or other NYSBA membership benefits, call (518) 487-5577.

Copyright 2004
New York State Bar Association
All rights reserved
ISBN: 1-57969-142-0
Product Number: 41953

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME ONE

Preface xli

Chapter 1 Ethical Considerations 1
Eileen E. Buholtz, Esq.

Chapter 2 Pleadings and Motions Directed to the Pleadings..... 77
Neil Merkl, Esq.
James Reiland, Esq.

Chapter 3 Disclosure..... 139
Joseph Ritzert, Esq.

Chapter 4 Investigation of Case and Use of Experts 189
Kevin J. Walsh, Esq.

Chapter 5 The Conduct of Depositions—State and Federal Rules and Guidelines..... 237
Hon. Harold Baer, Jr.
Robert C. Meade, Jr., Esq.

Chapter 6 Expert Discovery, Depositions and Motions to Exclude Experts 287
John P. Freedenberg, Esq.
Frank J. Ciano, Esq.

Chapter 7 Litigating and Protecting the Insurance Claim 319
Thomas F. Segalla, Esq.
Richard J. Cohen, Esq.

Chapter 8 Selecting the Jury 371
Richard B. Long, Esq.

Chapter 9 Preserving the Trial Record for Appellate Review..... 397
Matthew S. Lerner, Esq.

Chapter 10 Motions *in Limine*; Opening Statements..... 429
Robert J. Bohner, Esq.

Chapter 11 Direct Examination of Lay Witnesses 459
Harvey Weitz, Esq.
Andrew L. Weitz, Ph.D., Esq.

Chapter 12 Cross-Examination of Lay Witnesses: An Overview ... 507
E. Stewart Jones, Jr., Esq.

Chapter 13 Motions *in Limine* to Preclude Expert Testimony 603
James C. Ughetta, Esq.

VOLUME TWO

Chapter 14 Gatekeeping: Reliability of Expert Testimony under *Daubert* (and *Frye*) 619
Michael Hoenig, Esq.

Chapter 15 Direct Examination of the Technical/Medical Witness..... 685
James C. Moore, Esq.

Chapter 16 Cross-Examination of the Technical/Medical Witness..... 699
Neil A. Goldberg, Esq.

Chapter 17 Use of Demonstrative Evidence during Trial 829
J. Peter Coll, Jr., Esq.

Chapter 18 Evidence..... 873
Prof. Robert A. Barker

Chapter 19 Summation 903
Philip H. Magner, Jr., Esq.

Chapter 20 Some Do's and Don'ts for Summation..... 927
Henry G. Miller, Esq.

Chapter 21 Jury Instructions..... 937
Neil A. Goldberg, Esq.

Chapter 22 Post-trial Motions..... 955
Michael D. Shalhoub, Esq.
Daniel S. Ratner, Esq.

Chapter 23 Trying Your First Trial? Nineteen Tiny Tips..... 983
Henry G. Miller, Esq.

Chapter 24 Settlement..... 991
Paul F. Jones, Esq.

Chapter 25 Role of Alternative Dispute Resolution 1029
Elayne E. Greenberg, Esq.

Biographies..... 1065
Table of Authorities..... 1079
Index 1141

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME ONE

Preface xli

Chapter 1 Ethical Considerations
Eileen E. Buholtz, Esq.

[1.0] I. Overview 3
[1.1] A. Scope 3
[1.2] B. Governing Law 3
[1.3] C. Code of Professional Responsibility 3
[1.4] 1. Format 3
[1.5] 2. Applicability of Disciplinary Rules 4
[1.6] 3. Numbering System of Disciplinary Rules 4
[1.7] D. Other Codes Pertaining to Attorneys' Conduct 4
[1.8] E. Ethics in Civil Litigation 5
[1.9] F. Disciplinary Actions vs. Rulings in Litigation 5
[1.10] G. Terminology and Abbreviations 5
[1.11] II. Business Considerations 6
[1.12] A. Escrow Accounts 6
[1.13] 1. Guidelines 6
[1.14] 2. Record Keeping 9
[1.15] a. Authorized Signatories 11
[1.16] b. Funds Belonging to Missing Clients 12
[1.17] c. Record Keeping on Dissolution of Firm 12
[1.18] d. Availability of Bookkeeping Records 12
[1.19] B. Checking New Matters and Clients for Conflicts of Interest 13
[1.20] 1. Conflicts Checks 13
[1.21] 2. Who Is a Client? 14
[1.22] C. Attracting Clients 16
[1.23] 1. Communications with Potential Clients 16
[1.24] a. Ban on In-Person or Telephonic Solicitations of Clients 16
[1.25] b. Non-engagement Letter 17
[1.26] c. Identification of Practice 17
[1.27] d. Advertising: Building Signs 17
[1.28] 2. Client Rights and Responsibilities 18
[1.29] a. Statement of Client's Rights 18
[1.30] b. Statement of Client's Responsibilities 18

[1.31] 3. Referring Network 19
[1.32] a. Division of Fees 19
[1.33] b. Referring Attorney's Duty to Disclose Intention to Refer 19
[1.34] D. Closing Files and Record Retention 20
[1.35] III. Checking for and Addressing Client Conflicts 20
[1.36] A. Conflicts with Current Clients 21
[1.37] B. Conflicts with Former Clients..... 21
[1.38] C. Multiple Clients 22
[1.39] D. Obtaining Client's Consent to Conflict in Representation..... 23
[1.40] E. Motions to Disqualify 24
[1.41] 1. Current Client as Adverse Party 24
[1.42] 2. Former Client as Adverse Party..... 25
[1.43] 3. Potential for Abuse 29
[1.44] 4. Vicarious or Imputed Disqualification..... 29
[1.45] F. Lateral Hiring between Public Service and Private Practice 32
[1.46] IV. Establishing the Attorney-Client Relationship 33
[1.47] A. Competency in Handling Client's Matter..... 33
[1.48] B. Personal Conflicts with Client 34
[1.49] 1. Proprietary Interest in Client's Case Proscribed 34
[1.50] 2. Maintenance of Litigation Clients Prohibited..... 34
[1.51] 3. Third-Party Payment of Lawyer's Fees..... 35
[1.52] V. Fees and Agreements 36
[1.53] A. Calculation of Contingent Fees..... 36
[1.54] B. Letters of Engagement 38
[1.55] C. OCA Retainer Statements..... 39
[1.56] D. Changing the Retainer Agreement..... 40
[1.57] E. Changing the Maximum Contingent Fee Percentages 40
[1.58] F. Early Termination of Attorney-Client Relationship and Determining Fees..... 41
[1.59] 1. Termination on Consent..... 41
[1.60] 2. Attorney's Withdrawal on Motion..... 41
[1.61] 3. Client's Discharge of Attorney and Fixing of L's Fees 42
[1.62] a. Discharge with or without Cause 42
[1.63] b. *Quantum Meruit* 43

[1.64] c. Charging Liens 43
[1.65] G. Fee Disputes and Fee Arbitration 44
[1.66] VI. Handling the Lawsuit 46
[1.67] A. Avoiding Misconduct 46
[1.68] 1. Frivolous Litigation 47
[1.69] 2. Failure to Disclose 49
[1.70] 3. Perjury, False Evidence and Fraud 50
[1.71] 4. Stolen Documents 51
[1.72] 5. Criminal Deceit or Collusion 51
[1.73] B. Duties to Client 52
[1.74] 1. Keeping Client's Confidences and Secrets 52
[1.75] a. Attorney Work Product and Attorney-Client Privilege 53
[1.76] b. Special Situations 54
[1.77] 2. Zealous Advocacy and Duty of Civility 55
[1.78] 3. Independent Judgment Owed to Client 56
[1.79] a. Continuing Representation after Committing Malpractice 56
[1.80] b. Lawyers as Witnesses 56
[1.81] 4. Communicating with the Adversary and Related Parties 57
[1.82] a. Communications Directly between Clients 57
[1.83] b. L's Communications with Adverse Party .. 57
[1.84] c. Threatening Criminal Charges 58
[1.85] d. Effect of Legal Representation Status on Lawyer's Ability to Communicate 58
[1.86] e. Hospitalized Injured Parties 61
[1.87] f. Pro Se Litigants 61
[1.88] C. Duties with Regard to the Court 62
[1.89] 1. Adherence to Court Rules and Rulings 62
[1.90] 2. Disclosure to Court 63
[1.91] a. Adverse Controlling Authority 63
[1.92] b. Identity of Client and Employer(s) 63
[1.93] 3. At Trial 64
[1.94] 4. Trial Publicity 65
[1.95] 5. Contact with Officials 66
[1.96] 6. Ex Parte Communications with the Court 66
[1.97] 7. Assisting in Improving the Judicial System 67
[1.98] D. Duties with Regard to Witnesses 67
[1.99] E. Duties with Regard to Jurors 68

[1.100] F. Duties with Regard to Settlement 69
[1.101] 1. Settling Similar Claims of Clients 69
[1.102] 2. Limiting Liability to a Client 69
[1.103] 3. Briberies and Kickbacks 70
[1.104] G. Payment of Third-Party Liens and Claims; Disbursement of Proceeds..... 70
[1.105] H. OCA Closing Statement..... 72
Appendix: Cross-References of Disciplinary Rules with 22 N.Y.C.R.R. pt. 1200 73

Chapter 2 Pleadings and Motions Directed to the Pleadings
Neil Merkl, Esq.
James Reiland, Esq.

[2.0] I. Introduction..... 79
[2.1] II. Elements of Form Common to Pleadings and Motions Directed to Pleadings..... 79
[2.2] A. State Practice..... 79
[2.3] B. Federal Practice..... 81
[2.4] III. The Summons 82
[2.5] A. State Practice..... 82
[2.6] 1. Commencement of Suit..... 82
[2.7] 2. Notice of Time to Respond 84
[2.8] 3. Basis of Venue 84
[2.9] 4. Amendment..... 84
[2.10] 5. Supplemental Summons..... 85
[2.11] 6. Consumer Credit Transactions..... 85
[2.12] B. Federal Practice..... 86
[2.13] 1. Content..... 87
[2.14] 2. Amendment..... 87
[2.15] IV. The Complaint..... 88
[2.16] A. Pleading Jurisdiction..... 88
[2.17] 1. State Practice..... 88
[2.18] 2. Federal Practice..... 88
[2.19] a. Federal Question Jurisdiction..... 89
[2.20] b. Federal Diversity Jurisdiction 89
[2.21] B. Pleading Venue 90
[2.22] C. Rules for Pleading Claims (Federal) or Causes of Action (State) 90
[2.23] 1. Generally..... 91
[2.24] 2. Inconsistent, Alternative or Hypothetical Pleading Permitted..... 92

[2.25] 3. Pleading Format 93
[2.26] a. Repetition of or Reference to Earlier Statements 94
[2.27] b. Exhibits 94
[2.28] D. Pleading Special Matters 95
[2.29] 1. Satisfaction of Contractual Condition Precedent 95
[2.30] 2. Corporate Status 95
[2.31] 3. Special Damages 96
[2.32] 4. License to Do Business 97
[2.33] E. Pleading with Particularity in Specific Actions 97
[2.34] 1. Defamation (Libel or Slander) 98
[2.35] 2. Fraud or Mistake 99
[2.36] 3. Separation or Divorce 99
[2.37] 4. Action on a Judgment 100
[2.38] 5. Law of Foreign Country 100
[2.39] 6. Sale and Delivery of Goods or Performing of Labor or Services 100
[2.40] 7. Personal Injury Arising from Negligent Use or Operation of Motor Vehicle 101
[2.41] 8. Gross Negligence or Intentional Infliction of Harm by Certain Directors, Officers or Trustees of Certain Corporations, Associations, Organizations or Trusts 101
[2.42] F. Prayer for Relief 101
[2.43] 1. Legal vs. Equitable Remedies 102
[2.44] 2. Declaratory Judgment 102
[2.45] 3. Additional Relief 103
[2.46] G. Class Actions 104
[2.47] H. Special Proceedings 105
[2.48] 1. Generally 105
[2.49] 2. Commencing Suit via Motion for Summary Judgment 106
[2.50] 3. New York Simplified Procedure 107
[2.51] V. The Answer 107
[2.52] A. Introduction 107
[2.53] B. Denials 107
[2.54] 1. Admission by Failure to Deny 108
[2.55] 2. Acceptable Forms of Denial 108
[2.56] 3. Scope of Denial 109
[2.57] 4. Qualified Denial 109

[2.58] 5. General Denial 110
[2.59] 6. Reiteration of Denials Unnecessary 110
[2.60] C. Affirmative Defenses 110
[2.61] 1. Statutory Defenses 111
[2.62] 2. Additional Defenses 112
[2.63] a. Affirmative Defenses Raised by Answer ... 112
[2.64] b. Contractual Condition Precedent 113
[2.65] c. "Partial" Affirmative Defenses 113
[2.66] 3. Tactical Considerations 113
[2.67] D. Counterclaims 114
[2.68] E. Cross-Claims 115
[2.69] VI. Amendment of Pleadings 115
[2.70] A. Introduction 115
[2.71] B. Amendment without Leave of Court or Stipulation of Parties 116
[2.72] 1. Timing 116
[2.73] 2. Adding a New Claim by Amendment 117
[2.74] C. Amendment by Stipulation of Parties 117
[2.75] D. Amendment by Leave 117
[2.76] E. Amendment Conforming Pleadings to Proof 119
[2.77] F. Amended Pleadings Requiring a Response 119
[2.78] VII. Motions Directed to the Pleadings 119
[2.79] A. Corrective Motions 119
[2.80] 1. Motion for a More Definite Statement 120
[2.81] a. Purpose 120
[2.82] b. Availability 120
[2.83] c. Timing of Motion 121
[2.84] d. Timing of Responsive Pleading 121
[2.85] e. Waiver 122
[2.86] 2. Motion to Strike Matter 122
[2.87] a. Standard for Granting Relief 122
[2.88] b. Timing of Motion 124
[2.89] c. Timing of Responsive Pleading 124
[2.90] 3. Motion to Separately State, Plead and Number Allegations 124
[2.91] 4. Tactical Considerations Relative to Corrective Motions under the CPLR 125
[2.92] B. Dispositive Motions 125
[2.93] 1. Motion to Dismiss 125
[2.94] a. Grounds 126
[2.95] (1) CPLR 126

[2.96] (2) Federal Rules 127
[2.97] b. Evidence Outside the Pleadings 127
[2.98] c. Restrictions and Timing of Motion 128
[2.99] d. Timing of Responsive Pleading 129
[2.100] 2. Motion to Dismiss or Strike a Defense 129
[2.101] a. Grounds 129
[2.102] (1) CPLR 129
[2.103] (2) Federal Rules 130
[2.104] b. Evidence Outside the Pleadings 130
[2.105] c. Timing of Motion 131
[2.106] d. Searching the Record 131
[2.107] e. Tactical Consideration..... 131
[2.108] VIII. Bill of Particulars 132
[2.109] A. Purpose of Bill of Particulars..... 132
[2.110] B. Parties Entitled to Demand Particulars 132
[2.111] C. Permitted Scope of Demand for Particulars..... 133
[2.112] 1. Suitable Subject Matters 133
[2.113] 2. Tactical Consideration 133
[2.114] D. Procedure for Obtaining Bill of Particulars 133
[2.115] 1. Timing of Demand..... 134
[2.116] 2. Timing of Response 134
[2.117] E. Contents of Demand for Bill of Particulars 134
[2.118] 1. Generally..... 134
[2.119] 2. Particular Items of a Bill 135
[2.120] a. General vs. Special Damages 135
[2.121] b. Particularized Items of an Account 135
[2.122] c. Personal Injury Actions..... 136
[2.123] F. Verification of Bills of Particulars..... 136
[2.124] G. Amendment..... 137
[2.125] H. Remedies..... 137
[2.126] 1. Motion to Compel Compliance..... 137
[2.127] 2. Penalties 137

Chapter 3 Disclosure
Joseph Ritzert, Esq.

[3.0] I. Purposes and Scope of Discovery..... 141
[3.1] A. Discovery of Evidence..... 142
[3.2] B. Preservation of Testimony 143
[3.3] C. Limits on the Scope of Discovery..... 143
[3.4] 1. Federal Court 143
[3.5] 2. State Court 144

[3.6] D. Specific Matters within Scope of Discovery 146
[3.7] 1. Statement of Party 146
[3.8] 2. Insurance Information 146
[3.9] 3. Surveillance Material 146
[3.10] II. Means of Discovery 146
[3.11] A. Federal Disclosure without Request 146
[3.12] B. Depositions 148
[3.13] C. Interrogatories 148
[3.14] D. Discovery and Inspection of Documents and Property 149
[3.15] E. Physical and Mental Examinations 149
[3.16] F. Admissions 150
[3.17] G. Other Discovery Devices 150
[3.18] III. Timing and Sequence of Discovery 152
[3.19] A. Priority 152
[3.20] B. Sequence 153
[3.21] C. Limits on Number of Depositions and Interrogatories 154
[3.22] D. Supplementation 154
[3.23] IV. Deposition Notice 155
[3.24] A. State Law 155
[3.25] B. Federal Law 156
[3.26] C. Essential Elements of Deposition Notice 156
[3.27] V. Preparing for the Deposition 158
[3.28] A. Attorney Preparation 158
[3.29] B. Witness Preparation 159
[3.30] 1. Basic Instructions 159
[3.31] 2. Familiarization 160
[3.32] 3. Answering Strategy 160
[3.33] VI. Deposition Preliminaries 162
[3.34] A. Introduction of All Attendees 162
[3.35] B. Handling of Objections 163
[3.36] 1. By Stipulation 163
[3.37] 2. No Stipulation 164
[3.38] C. Cost of the Transcript and Number of Copies 165
[3.39] D. Signing and Filing the Transcript 166
[3.40] VII. Document Production 167
[3.41] A. Production Prior to Examination 167
[3.42] B. Production Ancillary to Examination 168
[3.43] C. Comparison and Practical Application of Production Requirements 169

[3.44] D. Marking Documents 170
[3.45] VIII. Objections at a Deposition 171
[3.46] A. Objections as to Form of Question 171
[3.47] 1. Purpose of Objections as to Form 171
[3.48] 2. Potentially Objectionable Questions as to Form 171
[3.49] B. Objections Normally Preserved for Trial 172
[3.50] C. Privileged Matters 173
[3.51] IX. Conducting the Examination 174
[3.52] A. Preliminaries 174
[3.53] B. Framing the Question 175
[3.54] C. Controlling the Answer 175
[3.55] D. Follow-up Questioning 176
[3.56] E. Frequently Encountered Questions 176
[3.57] F. Approaches for Particular Kinds of Witnesses 178
[3.58] 1. Opposing Parties 178
[3.59] 2. Nonparty Witness 179
[3.60] 3. Your Own Witness 179
[3.61] X. Supervision of Depositions 180
[3.62] A. Practical Considerations 180
[3.63] B. Motions to Compel 181
[3.64] XI. Completion of the Examination 182
[3.65] A. Adjournments Prior to Completion 182
[3.66] B. Review and Finalization of Transcript—Corrections and Changes 182
[3.67] XII. Use of Transcript 183
[3.68] A. At Trial 183
[3.69] 1. As Evidence-in-Chief 183
[3.70] 2. For Cross-Examination 184
[3.71] B. In Other Proceedings 185
[3.72] XIII. Alternatives to Stenographic Transcripts 185
[3.73] A. Audio 186
[3.74] B. Video 186

Chapter 4 Investigation of Case and Use of Experts
Kevin J. Walsh, Esq.

[4.0] I. Introduction 191
[4.1] II. Interviews 192
[4.2] A. General Guidelines 192
[4.3] 1. Get the Documents 192
[4.4] 2. Take Notes 193

[4.5] 3. Interview Reports, Statements, Transcripts and Recordings 193
[4.6] B. Client Interviews 195
[4.7] C. Nonparty Witnesses 195
[4.8] 1. General Considerations 195
[4.9] 2. Eyewitness Interviews 196
[4.10] a. Finding Witnesses 196
[4.11] b. Obtaining Witnesses' Statements 197
[4.12] c. Factors Affecting Accuracy of Witnesses' Perceptions 197
[4.13] D. Adverse Parties 198
[4.14] E. Internet 199
[4.15] III. Government and Institutional Records and Information 200
[4.16] A. Freedom of Information Requests 200
[4.17] B. Medical Records 202
[4.18] 1. Hospital Records 202
[4.19] a. Standard Record 202
[4.20] b. Surgical Records 202
[4.21] c. Nurses' Notes 203
[4.22] d. Test Reports 203
[4.23] e. Discharge Summary 204
[4.24] f. Outpatient Records 204
[4.25] 2. Physician, Pharmacist and Laboratory Records 204
[4.26] C. Accident Reports 205
[4.27] 1. Police Reports 205
[4.28] 2. 911 Calls 205
[4.29] 3. Coast Guard Reports 205
[4.30] 4. Public Transportation Accident Reports 205
[4.31] D. Death Certificates 206
[4.32] E. School, Employment and Military Records 206
[4.33] F. Workers' Compensation and Social Security Records 207
[4.34] G. Business Records 208
[4.35] 1. SEC Data 208
[4.36] 2. Secretary of State Records 209
[4.37] 3. County Clerk Records 209
[4.38] 4. Building Department Records 209
[4.39] 5. Professional Licensing Agency Records 209
[4.40] H. Court Records 210

[4.41] I. Violations of Government Regulations 210
[4.42] J. Public Facility Maintenance Records 212
[4.43] K. Government Funding Records 212
[4.44] L. Product Information 213
[4.45] M. United States Postal Service 213
[4.46] N. Weather Information 214
[4.47] O. Government Standards and Statistical Information 214
[4.48] P. Assets Information 215
[4.49] IV. Private Investigators 216
[4.50] A. Benefits and Drawbacks 216
[4.51] B. Techniques 216
[4.52] 1. Surveillance 216
[4.53] 2. Consensual Recordings 217
[4.54] C. Qualifications 217
[4.55] V. Investigation of Particular Types of Cases 218
[4.56] A. Products Liability 218
[4.57] B. Medical Malpractice 219
[4.58] C. Workers' Compensation 220
[4.59] D. Contracts 221
[4.60] VI. Retention and Proper Use of an Expert 222
[4.61] A. Errors in Retaining Experts 222
[4.62] 1. Delay in Hiring 222
[4.63] 2. Retaining the Wrong Expert 223
[4.64] 3. Retaining Control of the Case 224
[4.65] B. Discoverability of Expert's Communications and Reports 224
[4.66] 1. Expert Will Testify 224
[4.67] 2. Expert Will Not Testify 225
[4.68] C. Selection of Expert 226
Appendix A: Directory of Selected Federal Government Agencies 229
Appendix B: Sources for Medical Information 235

Chapter 5 The Conduct of Depositions—State and Federal Rules and Guidelines
Hon. Harold Baer, Jr.
Robert C. Meade, Jr., Esq.

[5.0] I. Introduction 239
[5.1] II. The Rules—An Overview 241
[5.2] A. State Practice 241

[5.3] B. Federal Practice 245
[5.4] 1. Objections 245
[5.5] 2. Motions to Compel Testimony and to Limit or Terminate Deposition 246
[5.6] 3. Time Limits for Depositions 247
[5.7] 4. Sanctions 248
[5.8] C. Protective Orders in Advance of Deposition 248
[5.9] III. Common Problems or Issues in Depositions 250
[5.10] A. Privileged or Confidential Matter 250
[5.11] 1. Scope of Privilege 250
[5.12] 2. Directions Not to Answer 251
[5.13] a. Federal Cases 251
[5.14] b. State Cases 252
[5.15] B. Court-Ordered Limitations 254
[5.16] C. Objections on Grounds of Relevance 255
[5.17] 1. State Cases 255
[5.18] 2. Federal Cases 256
[5.19] D. Objections to Form of Questions and Similar Objections 257
[5.20] E. "Speaking" Objections, Suggestive Objections and the Like 259
[5.21] F. Objections Concerning Speculation and Repetition 261
[5.22] G. Consulting with the Witness 263
[5.23] 1. Consultations Forbidden during Breaks or Recesses Except with Regard to Privilege 263
[5.24] 2. Constitutional Concerns 266
[5.25] 3. Ethical Considerations 269
[5.26] H. Questions of Law 270
[5.27] I. Competency of Witness 271
[5.28] J. Publicly Available Information 271
[5.29] K. Deponents Representing Corporations and Other Entities 271
[5.30] L. Evasive Answers 272
[5.31] M. Walking Out of Depositions 273
[5.32] IV. Impact of Interruptions 274
[5.33] V. Protective Measures for Counsel for the Witness 276
[5.34] A. Preparation of Witness 277
[5.35] B. Establishing Professional Tone for Deposition 277
[5.36] C. Cross-Examination 278
[5.37] D. Review of Transcript 279

[5.38] VI. Rulings and Motions 279
[5.39] A. Application for Ruling by Court 279
[5.40] B. Formal Motion 280
[5.41] C. Court-Ordered Remedies 281
[5.42] D. Sanctions 282
[5.43] 1. State Cases 282
[5.44] 2. Federal Cases 282
[5.45] VII. Personal Attacks and Abuse 283
[5.46] A. Duty to Refrain from Abusive Conduct 284
[5.47] B. Ethical Obligations 285
[5.48] VIII. Conclusion 286

Chapter 6 Expert Discovery, Depositions and Motions to Exclude Experts
John P. Freedenberg, Esq.
Frank J. Ciano, Esq.

[6.0] I. Introduction 289
[6.1] II. Scope of Expert Discovery in New York 289
[6.2] A. Timing of Expert Disclosure in New York 290
[6.3] B. Obtaining Expert Depositions in New York 292
[6.4] III. The Expert Deposition 293
[6.5] A. Preparing for the Deposition 293
[6.6] B. Conducting the Deposition 294
[6.7] C. Deposition Outline 296
[6.8] 1. Contents of Expert's File 296
[6.9] 2. Background and Qualifications 296
[6.10] 3. Specific Qualifications Relative to Product at Issue 297
[6.11] 4. Initial Retention in Case 298
[6.12] 5. Chronology of Involvement in Case 299
[6.13] 6. Product Inspection 299
[6.14] 7. Statement of Opinions 300
[6.15] 8. Alternative Design Issues 302
[6.16] 9. Warnings and Instructions 302
[6.17] 10. Any Other Opinions 303
[6.18] IV. Moving to Exclude the Expert 303
[6.19] A. *Frye* and *Daubert* in State Court 303
[6.20] B. *Daubert* Motion in Federal Court 304
Appendix: Sample Motion to Exclude Expert 305

Chapter 7 Litigating and Protecting the Insurance Claim

Thomas F. Segalla, Esq.
Richard J. Cohen, Esq.

[7.0] I. Introduction 321
[7.1] II. Coverage Analysis 322
[7.2] A. Rule 1: Obtain the Complete Policy 322
[7.3] B. Rule 2: Determine which State Laws Will Apply to Coverage Analysis 323
[7.4] C. Rule 3: Scrutinize All Aspects of the Policy to Identify Potential Coverage Issues 323
[7.5] D. Rule 4: Conduct as Thorough an Investigation as Is Necessary to Accurately Evaluate the Coverage Issues 324
[7.6] E. Rule 5: Read the Complaint, Closely. Then Read It Again 325
[7.7] F. Rule 6: Compare the Allegations and Facts with the Policy Provisions 326
[7.8] G. Rule 7: Be Diligent and Timely in Your Analysis 326
[7.9] H. Rule 8: Do Not Rush to Judgment 328
[7.10] I. Rule 9: Make Yourself Aware of Any Other Coverage the Insured May Possess 328
[7.11] J. Rule 10: Know the Law or Seek Competent Advice 329
[7.12] K. Rule 11: Decide on a Coverage Strategy 329
[7.13] L. Rule 12: Detail Your Defenses in Your Position Letter 330
[7.14] III. Examination under Oath 330
[7.15] A. Implementation of Policy Provision 331
[7.16] 1. Cooperation Clause 331
[7.17] 2. Notice 333
[7.18] B. What Is It? What Is It Not? 335
[7.19] C. How To? How Not To? 336
[7.20] 1. Who to Examine? 336
[7.21] 2. What Documents to Request? 338
[7.22] 3. Insured's Response 338
[7.23] a. Appearance 338
[7.24] b. Excuses 340
[7.25] 4. Insurer's Actions 342
[7.26] D. Remedy 343
[7.27] IV. Declaratory Judgment Actions 345

[7.28] A. Proactive Options 345
[7.29] 1. Unconditional Defense 347
[7.30] 2. No Defense and No Declaratory Judgment Action 348
[7.31] 3. No Defense but Institute Parallel Declaratory Judgment Action or Alternative Procedure 348
[7.32] 4. Conditional Defense with No Declaratory Judgment Action 348
[7.33] 5. Conditional Defense with Parallel Declaratory Judgment Action or Alternative Procedure 349
[7.34] 6. Explore Settlement 349
[7.35] B. Procedure 350
[7.36] 1. Generally 350
[7.37] 2. Who, When, What and Where? 352
[7.38] a. Who? 352
[7.39] b. When? 353
[7.40] c. What? 355
[7.41] d. Where? 356
[7.42] (1) Federal Court—Abstention 356
[7.43] (2) State Court—Choice of Law 357
[7.44] (3) Choice of Forum 358
[7.45] 3. Discovery Process 359
[7.46] V. Alternative Resolutions 361
[7.47] A. Intervention 361
[7.48] 1. Intervention as of Right 362
[7.49] 2. Permissive Intervention 363
[7.50] B. Interpleader 364
[7.51] 1. Statutory Interpleader 364
[7.52] 2. Rule Interpleader 364
[7.53] C. Alternative Dispute Resolution 365
[7.54] VI. Conclusion 365
Appendix: Proactive Options Recommendations 367

Chapter 8 Selecting the Jury
Richard B. Long, Esq.

[8.0] I. Introduction 373
[8.1] A. Generally 373
[8.2] B. Applicable Statutes and Rules 373
[8.3] II. Goals 373

[8.4] A. Selecting a Favorable Jury 374
[8.5] B. Establishing Rapport with the Jury 375
[8.6] C. Introducing Contentions to the Jury 375
[8.7] D. Conditioning Jury to Negative Aspects of Your Case 376
[8.8] III. How a Jury Is Selected 376
[8.9] A. Role of Court 376
[8.10] B. Challenges 377
[8.11] 1. Challenges for Cause 377
[8.12] 2. Peremptory Challenges 378
[8.13] C. Methods of Selection 378
[8.14] D. Alternate Jurors 380
[8.15] E. Bifurcated Trials 380
[8.16] IV. Practice Hints 380
[8.17] A. Attorney's Demeanor 381
[8.18] 1. Candor 381
[8.19] 2. Sincerity 382
[8.20] 3. Brevity 382
[8.21] B. Obtaining a Meaningful Response 382
[8.22] C. Saving the Peremptory Challenge 383
[8.23] D. Protecting a Favored Juror 384
[8.24] E. Reading the Jury 385
[8.25] 1. Jury Profile 385
[8.26] a. Sex 385
[8.27] b. Age 386
[8.28] c. Occupation 386
[8.29] d. Employer 386
[8.30] e. Race, National Origin and Religious Beliefs 386
[8.31] f. Attitudes and Experiences 387
[8.32] g. Expertise or Profession 387
[8.33] 2. Selecting a Jury that Matches the Profile 388
[8.34] a. Observation 388
[8.35] b. Interrogation 389
[8.36] 3. Final Decision: To Keep or Reject 389
[8.37] F. Some Don'ts of Jury Selection 390
[8.38] 1. Don't Hover 390
[8.39] 2. Avoid Ego-Defeating Questions 390
[8.40] 3. Avoid Fumbling with a Juror's Name 390
[8.41] 4. Avoid Excessive Repetition 391
[8.42] 5. Use and Misuse of Notes 392

[8.43] V. Illustrative Jury Selection 393
[8.44] A. Interrogation by Plaintiff's Counsel 393
[8.45] 1. Questions Addressed Collectively 393
[8.46] 2. Questions Addressed Individually 394
[8.47] B. Interrogation by Defense Counsel 395
[8.48] 1. Questions Addressed Collectively 395
[8.49] 2. Questions Addressed Individually 395

Chapter 9 Preserving the Trial Record for Appellate Review
Matthew S. Lerner, Esq.

[9.0] I. Introduction 399
[9.1] II. Doctrine of Preservation 399
[9.2] A. General Principles 399
[9.3] 1. Fairness 401
[9.4] 2. Judicial Economy 401
[9.5] B. Issues Raised for First Time on Appeal 402
[9.6] 1. Determinative New Legal Issues 402
[9.7] 2. Pure Questions of Law or Statutory Interpretation 402
[9.8] 3. Issues Supported by Trial Record 404
[9.9] C. Exceptions to Doctrine of Preservation 405
[9.10] D. General Strategies for Complying with Doctrine of Preservation 406
[9.11] III. Building the Record before Trial 406
[9.12] A. Pleadings 406
[9.13] B. Disclosure 407
[9.14] 1. Concept of Disclosure in New York 407
[9.15] 2. Depositions 408
[9.16] 3. Interrogatories 409
[9.17] 4. Discovery and Production of Documents and Items for Inspection, Testing, Copying or Photographing 409
[9.18] C. Pretrial Motions 410
[9.19] 1. Introduction 410
[9.20] 2. Strategies to Preserve an Issue or Argument at the Pretrial Stage 411
[9.21] 3. Importance of Pretrial Motions and Interlocutory Appeals 411
[9.22] a. Interaction between Interlocutory Appeals and the Necessarily Affects Doctrine 412

[9.23] b. Pretrial Motions and Law of the Case Doctrine 413
[9.24] D. Note of Issue and Certificate of Readiness 416
[9.25] IV. Protecting the Record at Trial 417
[9.26] A. Exception vs. Objection 417
[9.27] B. Requests and Objections 417
[9.28] 1. Function 417
[9.29] 2. Specificity 418
[9.30] 3. Timeliness 420
[9.31] 4. Repeated Objections Unnecessary 420
[9.32] C. Evidence 421
[9.33] 1. Offer of Proof 421
[9.34] 2. Inclusion of Disputed Evidence 421
[9.35] D. Jury Selection 421
[9.36] E. Opening Statements and Summations 422
[9.37] F. Motion for Directed Verdict 423
[9.38] G. Jury Instructions 423
[9.39] 1. Law to Be Applied in the Case 425
[9.40] 2. Verdict 426
[9.41] H. Post-trial Motions 426
[9.42] V. Interests of Justice Jurisdiction 427
[9.43] VI. Conclusion 428

Chapter 10 Motions *in Limine*; Opening Statements
Robert J. Bohner, Esq.

PART ONE: MOTIONS *IN LIMINE*
[10.0] I. Introduction 431
[10.1] II. Advantages 431
[10.2] A. Excludes Inadmissible and Prejudicial Evidence ... 431
[10.3] B. Preserves Point on Appeal 432
[10.4] C. Allows More Deliberation Time for Court's Decision 432
[10.5] D. Reduces Stonewalling Perception 433
[10.6] E. Promotes Settlement 433
[10.7] F. Shortens Trials 433
[10.8] III. Disadvantages 433
[10.9] A. Educates Adversary 433
[10.10] B. Premature Granting of Motion 434
[10.11] IV. Kinds of Rulings 434
[10.12] A. Conditional Order of Preclusion 434
[10.13] B. Permissive vs. Prohibitive 435

[10.14] V. When and How to Make the Motion 435
[10.15] A. Before Start of Trial 435
[10.16] B. Early in the Litigation 437
[10.17] C. Possible Sanctions 438
[10.18] VI. Circumstances that Warrant a Motion *in Limine* 438
[10.19] VII. Authority for Making Motions *in Limine* 439
Bibliography 440

PART TWO: OPENING STATEMENTS
[10.20] I. Introduction 441
[10.21] II. Purpose of Opening 442
[10.22] III. Order of Opening 442
[10.23] IV. Scope of Opening 443
[10.24] A. Avoid Argument 443
[10.25] B. Avoid References to Law 443
[10.26] C. Reference Admissible Evidence Only 444
[10.27] D. Refrain from Expressing Personal Beliefs 445
[10.28] E. Protect the Record 445
[10.29] F. Dismissal on Opening 446
[10.30] V. Techniques 446
[10.31] A. Preparation 446
[10.32] B. Capture the Jury's Interest 447
[10.33] C. Be Positive 447
[10.34] D. Appeal to Jury's Sense of Justice 448
[10.35] E. Personalize and Humanize 448
[10.36] F. Watch Your Language 448
[10.37] G. Establish a Theme 449
[10.38] H. Create the Issues 449
[10.39] I. Use Courtroom Props 449
[10.40] J. Psychology of Opening 450
[10.41] K. Confront Problems and Weaknesses 451
[10.42] L. Length of Opening 451
[10.43] M. Starting and Concluding the Opening 451
[10.44] N. Explain Technical Terms 452
[10.45] O. Prepare the Jury for Evidence that May Bore or Offend 453
[10.46] P. Multiple Defendants 453
[10.47] Q. Avoid Excessive Detail 453
[10.48] VI. Practice Aid—Sample Opening on Liability 454
[10.49] VII. Practice Aid—Principle of Primacy 456
Bibliography 458

Chapter 11 Direct Examination of Lay Witnesses

Harvey Weitz, Esq.
Andrew L. Weitz, Ph.D., Esq.

[11.0] I. Introduction 461
[11.1] II. Choosing Witnesses 461
[11.2] A. Less Is More 461
[11.3] B. The Best Witness 462
[11.4] III. Order of Witnesses 463
[11.5] A. Theory of Case as Basis for Witness "Batting Order" 463
[11.6] B. Common Issues 464
[11.7] 1. When Parties Should Testify 464
[11.8] 2. When Best Witness Should Testify 465
[11.9] 3. When to Call Opposing Party or Adverse Witness 465
[11.10] 4. When to Present Deposition Testimony 466
[11.11] IV. Preparation of Witnesses 467
[11.12] A. Preliminary Preparation 467
[11.13] 1. Set the Witness at Ease 467
[11.14] 2. Evaluate the Witness 468
[11.15] 3. Ensure Witness Tells the Truth 469
[11.16] B. Reviewing the Witness's Testimony 470
[11.17] 1. Legal and Factual Context 470
[11.18] 2. Elicit Witness's Version of Facts 470
[11.19] 3. Review Probable Testimony of Other Witnesses 470
[11.20] 4. Review All Probable Impeachment Material 470
[11.21] 5. Review All Exhibits 471
[11.22] 6. Prepare Witness for Question-and-Answer Format of Direct Examination 471
[11.23] 7. Review Direct Examination as Often as Needed 472
[11.24] C. Courtroom and Trial Preparation 473
[11.25] 1. Preparation for Cross-Examination 473
[11.26] 2. Preparation for Redirect 474
[11.27] D. Courtroom Presence and Demeanor 474
[11.28] V. Eliciting Testimony 475
[11.29] A. Questioning Techniques 475
[11.30] 1. What Questions to Ask 475
[11.31] a. Developing Background Information 475

[11.32] b. Developing the Facts 478
[11.33] (1) Chronological Approach 478
[11.34] (2) Subject-Matter Approach 480
[11.35] 2. How to Ask Questions 482
[11.36] a. Do Not Lead 482
[11.37] b. Keep it Simple: Selectivity 483
[11.38] c. Keep it Simple: Word Choice 483
[11.39] d. Keep it Effective: Word Choice 485
[11.40] e. Nonverbal Communication 485
[11.41] f. Pace 486
[11.42] g. Use Open-Ended Questions 488
[11.43] h. Listen to Witness's Answers 489
[11.44] i. Narrative Testimony 489
[11.45] j. Pitfalls 490
[11.46] B. Trial Strategy 492
[11.47] 1. Preempting the Opposition 492
[11.48] 2. Handling Objections 493
[11.49] VI. Witness Issues 494
[11.50] A. Refreshing Present Recollection 494
[11.51] B. Past Recollection Recorded 495
[11.52] C. Adverse and Hostile Witnesses 496
[11.53] D. Fact Witnesses Who Are Experts in Their Field 497
[11.54] E. Impeachment of Own Witness 498
[11.55] F. Reputation Witnesses 499
[11.56] G. Records Witnesses 500
[11.57] H. Admissibility of Lay Witness Opinions 501
[11.58] I. Witness Exclusion 502
[11.59] J. Examination of Witness by Trial Court 503
[11.60] VII. A Short List of Do's and Don'ts for Direct Examination 504

Chapter 12 Cross-Examination of Lay Witnesses: An Overview
E. Stewart Jones, Jr., Esq.

[12.0] I. Introduction 509
[12.1] II. Ethics of Cross-Examination 509
[12.2] III. Purposes of Cross-Examination 510
[12.3] IV. Preparing for Cross-Examination 511
[12.4] A. Scope of Knowledge Required for Cross-Examination 511
[12.5] B. Demonstration of Knowledge 513
[12.6] C. Organization and Accessibility of Knowledge 513

[12.7] 1. Exhibits 514
[12.8] 2. Statements, Depositions, Interrogatories 514
[12.9] D. Customized Cross-Examination 516
[12.10] E. Preparing Questions for Effective Cross-Examination 521
[12.11] 1. Objectives 521
[12.12] 2. Safe Approach 522
[12.13] 3. Form of Questions 524
[12.14] 4. Sequence of Questions 525
[12.15] 5. Content of Questions 526
[12.16] V. Trial Tactics and Techniques 527
[12.17] A. Cross-Examine Only When Necessary 528
[12.18] B. Do Not Quarrel with, Badger or Yell at the Witness 529
[12.19] C. Avoid Self-Inflicted Wounds 529
[12.20] D. Do Not Allow the Witness Time to Change His Answer 530
[12.21] E. Make Your Points in Summation 530
[12.22] F. Prolong Questioning to Emphasize Negligence 531
[12.23] G. Application of Courtroom Psychology to Cross-Examination 531
[12.24] 1. Primacy and Recency 532
[12.25] 2. Positioning 533
[12.26] 3. Body Language 534
[12.27] 4. Word Choice 535
[12.28] 5. Style of Delivery 535
[12.29] a. Convey Logic, Control and Knowledge in Questioning 535
[12.30] b. Adapt Style to Witness 536
[12.31] c. Accentuate the Positive 536
[12.32] d. Repeat, Repeat, Repeat 537
[12.33] e. Speak with Power 538
[12.34] 6. Witness Control 539
[12.35] VI. Specific Areas of Cross-Examination 540
[12.36] A. Inconsistent Statements 540
[12.37] 1. Federal Law 540
[12.38] 2. State Law 541
[12.39] a. Lay a Sufficient Foundation 542
[12.40] b. Avoid Opening Door to Prior Consistent Statements 544
[12.41] 3. Requirements 545

[12.42] a. Determine Purpose of Inconsistent Statement 545
[12.43] b. Commit Witness to Impeaching Material 546
[12.44] 4. Illustrative Approaches to Using Inconsistent Statements 547
[12.45] a. Prior Inconsistent Statement—Unsigned ... 547
[12.46] b. Prior Inconsistent Statement—Signed 549
[12.47] c. Prior Inconsistent Statement—Omission ... 553
[12.48] d. Prior Inconsistent Statement—Deposition . 556
[12.49] e. Prior Inconsistent Statement—The Trap 559
[12.50] f. Resolving the Contradictions 559
[12.51] B. Memory—Testing Recollection 560
[12.52] 1. Event Unmemorable or Not Unique 560
[12.53] 2. Different Versions of Event 565
[12.54] 3. Questioning Memory of Eyewitness 566
[12.55] C. Bias, Interest, Motive, Corruption, Hostility 569
[12.56] 1. Proof, Generally 569
[12.57] 2. Collateral Evidence Rule 570
[12.58] 3. Relationships and Bias 571
[12.59] a. Family or Friend Relationship 572
[12.60] b. Adverse Witness's Refusal to Cooperate ... 573
[12.61] c. Witness's Expenses Paid 574
[12.62] D. Eyewitness Perception and Identification 575
[12.63] E. Shaping of Witness's Testimony 577
[12.64] 1. How to Demonstrate Shaping of Testimony 577
[12.65] 2. Illustrative Cross-Examinations 579
[12.66] VII. Specific Types of Witnesses 582
[12.67] A. Surprise Witness 582
[12.68] B. Police and Investigators 585
[12.69] 1. Establish Oversights in Investigation 585
[12.70] 2. Establish Omissions or Inconsistencies 585
[12.71] a. Investigative Reports or Statements 586
[12.72] b. Testimony Based on Memory in Absence of Report 587
[12.73] c. Illustrative Cross-Examination 587
[12.74] C. Evasive, Talkative or Nonresponsive Witness 589
[12.75] 1. Control through Form of Question 589
[12.76] 2. Control by Agreement 591
[12.77] 3. Limiting the Expansive Answer 592
[12.78] 4. Evasion by Retraction 595

[12.79] D. Witness with Criminal Conviction or History of Other Criminal, Vicious or Immoral Acts 596
[12.80] E. Hostile Witness 597
Bibliography 599

Chapter 13 Motions *in Limine* to Preclude Expert Testimony
James C. Ughetta, Esq.

[13.0] I. Introduction 605
[13.1] II. Requirements for Admissibility of Expert Testimony 605
[13.2] A. Generally 605
[13.3] B. *Frye* Standard of Reliability 607
[13.4] C. *Daubert* Standard of Reliability 609
[13.5] III. Grounds for Motion to Preclude Expert Testimony 610
[13.6] IV. Whether to File Motion *in Limine* 612
[13.7] A. Advantages 612
[13.8] B. Disadvantages 613
[13.9] V. Timing of *Daubert*/*Frye* Motions 614
[13.10] VI. Motion Procedure 615
[13.11] VII. Potential Rulings on Motion 616
[13.12] VIII. Authority for Filing *Daubert*/*Frye* Motions 617
[13.13] A. New York State Court 617
[13.14] B. Federal Court 618
[13.15] IX. Conclusion 618

VOLUME TWO

Chapter 14 Gatekeeping: Reliability of Expert Testimony under *Daubert* (and *Frye*)
Michael Hoenig, Esq.

[14.0] I. The *Daubert* Upheaval 621
[14.1] II. Later Eruptions: *Joiner* and *Kumho Tire* 623
[14.2] III. *Weisgram*: Reliability Standards "Exacting" 625
[14.3] IV. Examples of *Daubert* Screening 626
[14.4] V. Suspicions Justify Scrutiny 628
[14.5] VI. Gatekeeping Rulings Teach Valuable Lessons 629
[14.6] VII. Even Qualified Experts Must Testify Reliably 631
[14.7] VIII. Admissibility Factors Other than *Daubert*'s "Big Four" 633

[14.8] A. Federal Rules of Evidence and Commentary as Source of Other Factors 633
[14.9] B. Courts Supplement the List 634
[14.10] IX. Admissibility Criteria and Representative Case Survey 636
[14.11] A. Untested or Untestable Theory 636
[14.12] B. Peer Review/Publication 639
[14.13] C. Known or Potential Rate for Error 640
[14.14] D. General Acceptance—Post-*Daubert* 641
[14.15] E. Unsupported Speculation 642
[14.16] F. Cumulative/Unnecessary 645
[14.17] G. Danger of Unfair Prejudice/Confusion 646
[14.18] H. Hearsay Data of Type Not Reasonably Relied on by Experts 647
[14.19] I. Absence of Scientific Foundation as Evidenced in Studies or Literature 647
[14.20] J. Lack of Reliable Factual Foundation 649
[14.21] K. "Fit" 652
[14.22] L. Validity of Methodology 653
[14.23] M. Reliability of Methodology 655
[14.24] N. Subjective Belief 656
[14.25] O. Lack of Qualifications for Opinion 659
[14.26] P. Expert's Failure to Follow Own Methodology 662
[14.27] Q. Greater Scrutiny for Litigation Opinion 662
[14.28] R. Failure to Rule Out Other Causes 664
[14.29] S. Improper Interpretation of Governmental Regulations or Standards 665
[14.30] T. Speculation about Corporate Motives 666
[14.31] U. Speculation about Alternative Warnings 666
[14.32] V. Countervailing Dangers and Concerns 667
[14.33] W. *Daubert* and Treating Physicians 667
[14.34] X. *Daubert* Screening and Computer Simulations 668
[14.35] X. Gatekeeping in State Courts 669
[14.36] XI. *Frye*'s Appropriate Role 675
[14.37] XII. *Daubert* Rumblings in State Courts 677
[14.38] XIII. Conclusion 684

Chapter 15 Direct Examination of the Technical/Medical Witness
James C. Moore, Esq.

[15.0] I. Introduction 687
[15.1] II. General Preparation 687

[15.2] A. Selecting the Expert 687
[15.3] B. Considerations in Selecting the Expert 688
[15.4] III. Pretrial Preparation 690
[15.5] IV. Direct Examination 692
[15.6] A. Establishing Credentials 692
[15.7] B. Eliciting an Opinion 694
[15.8] C. Anticipating Cross-Examination 696
[15.9] V. Conclusion 697

Chapter 16 Cross-Examination of the Technical/Medical Witness
Neil A. Goldberg, Esq.

[16.0] I. Introduction 701
[16.1] II. Cross-Examining Experts in the Post-*Daubert* Era 702
[16.2] A. The *Frye* Rule 702
[16.3] B. *Daubert v. Merrell Dow* 703
[16.4] C. Post-*Daubert* 706
[16.5] D. Validity and Reliability 709
[16.6] III. Goals of Cross-Examination 711
[16.7] A. Impeachment of Expert Witness's Testimony 711
[16.8] B. Securing Admissions and Factual Testimony from Expert 712
[16.9] IV. Preparing to Cross-Examine the Expert Witness 712
[16.10] A. Gather Information about Expert 713
[16.11] 1. Background Check 713
[16.12] 2. Literature Search 713
[16.13] 3. Transcripts of Previous Testimony 714
[16.14] 4. Area(s) of Specialization 714
[16.15] 5. Bias 714
[16.16] B. Study Relevant Written Authorities 714
[16.17] C. Know the Technical Issues 715
[16.18] V. General Principles and Techniques of Cross-Examination 715
[16.19] A. Counsel as the Seventh Juror 716
[16.20] B. Know the Law 716
[16.21] 1. Applying Your Knowledge 716
[16.22] 2. Illustrative Cross-Examination 718
[16.23] C. Be Polite 720
[16.24] D. Keep Your Composure 721
[16.25] E. Do Not Overtry Your Case 721
[16.26] F. Limit Cross-Examination to Key Points 722
[16.27] G. Start Strong and End with a Climax 722

[16.28] H. Do Not Allow the Witness to Repeat Testimony.... 723
[16.29] I. Do Not Repeat the Witness's Answers..... 723
[16.30] J. Be Firm 723
[16.31] K. Use Plain English..... 724
[16.32] L. Know the Answers to the Questions You Ask..... 724
[16.33] M. Ask Leading Questions 724
[16.34] N. Impact of Summation..... 725
[16.35] VI. Trial Strategies for Impeaching the Expert Witness 725
[16.36] A. Reveal Potentially Contradictory Information in Expert's File..... 725
[16.37] 1. Scope of File and Extent of Expert's Reliance Thereon 725
[16.38] 2. Review of File..... 726
[16.39] 3. Cross-Examination of Expert with Regard to File 726
[16.40] B. Show Bias of Professional Witness 727
[16.41] C. Attack Witness's Credentials..... 732
[16.42] D. Reveal Expert's Own Prior Inconsistent Statements 735
[16.43] 1. Obtain and Review Expert's Prior Testimony 736
[16.44] 2. Lay the Proper Foundation..... 736
[16.45] 3. Demonstrate the Inconsistency 737
[16.46] 4. Illustrative Cross-Examination 737
[16.47] E. Show Conflict of Expert's Opinion with Recognized Authorities..... 739
[16.48] 1. When Expert Agrees with Authoritative Treatise..... 739
[16.49] 2. When Expert Refuses to Admit Treatise is Authoritative..... 739
[16.50] 3. Techniques 740
[16.51] 4. Illustrative Cross-Examination 740
[16.52] F. Demonstrate Unreasonableness or Improbability of Witness's Testimony 743
[16.53] VII. Trial Strategies for Securing Admissions from Experts 746
[16.54] A. Use Your Opponent's Expert to Advance Your Case..... 747
[16.55] B. Use Analogies to Secure Admissions 750
[16.56] C. Show Inconsistency of Expert's Testimony with Evidence Developed by Adversary..... 753

[16.57] D. Undermine Testimony Based on Hypothetical Questions 755
[16.58] 1. Problems with Hypothetical Questions..... 756
[16.59] 2. Techniques for Handling Hypotheticals 757
[16.60] 3. Illustrative Cross-Examinations for Handling Hypothetical Questions..... 759
[16.61] a. Ask Whether Expert Knows if Assumed Facts Are True..... 759
[16.62] b. Establish Possibility of Different Opinion if Different Facts Assumed 761
[16.63] c. Establish Possibility of Different Opinion if Additional Factors Considered..... 763
[16.64] d. Underscore Fact that if Factual Basis for Opinion Is Inaccurate, Opinion Is Inaccurate 764
[16.65] VIII. Preparing to Cross-Examine the Medical/ Rehabilitation Expert 765
[16.66] A. Become a Medical Expert in the Relevant Subject Matter..... 765
[16.67] B. Review the Hospital Record 766
[16.68] C. Preparation for Cross-Examining Vocational, Rehabilitation and Psychological Experts 768
[16.69] 1. Vocational Experts..... 768
[16.70] 2. Physical Rehabilitation Experts 771
[16.71] a. Isometrics 771
[16.72] b. Isotonics 771
[16.73] c. Isokinetics 771
[16.74] d. Manual Muscle Testing Unit (MMTU)..... 772
[16.75] 3. Psychological Experts..... 772
[16.76] a. Classifying Psychological Injuries..... 772
[16.77] b. Evaluating the Psychological Evaluation; Challenging the Testing Methods..... 773
[16.78] (1) Methods to Determine Reliability 773
[16.79] (2) Categories of Validity..... 774
[16.80] c. Classifying Psychological Tests..... 775
[16.81] d. Challenging the Psychological Diagnosis..... 776
[16.82] (1) DSM-IV—Generally 777

[16.83] (2) Post-traumatic Stress Disorder: DSM-IV Category 309.81 777
[16.84] (3) Undifferentiated Somatoform Disorder: DSM-IV Category 300.81 778
[16.85] (4) Malingering: DSM-IV Category V 65.2 779
[16.86] (5) Recommendations and Counseling Points 780
[16.87] D. Illustrative Cross-Examination of Police Expert, Forensic Psychologist and Psychologist 780
[16.88] 1. Fact Pattern 781
[16.89] 2. Examination of Detective "S"..... 784
[16.90] 3. Examination of Forensic Psychologist..... 810
[16.91] 4. Examination of Psychologist 820

Chapter 17 Use of Demonstrative Evidence during Trial
J. Peter Coll, Jr., Esq.

[17.0] I. Introduction..... 831
[17.1] II. Application of Rules of Evidence 832
[17.2] III. Preparation of Exhibits..... 836
[17.3] IV. *In Limine* Applications Regarding Admissibility 838
[17.4] V. When to Use Demonstrative Exhibits during Trial..... 840
[17.5] VI. Photographs, Slide Shows, Videotapes and Movies..... 843
[17.6] A. Admissibility..... 843
[17.7] B. Effective Display at Trial..... 845
[17.8] C. Surveillance Materials 846
[17.9] D. "Day-in-the-Life" Films..... 848
[17.10] 1. Admissibility..... 848
[17.11] 2. Grounds for Objections..... 849
[17.12] a. Cumulative of Medical and Other Evidence 849
[17.13] b. Prejudice..... 849
[17.14] c. Hearsay..... 852
[17.15] d. Exclusion in Bifurcated Trial 852
[17.16] VII. Plaintiff's Presence as Demonstrative Evidence..... 852
[17.17] VIII. Demonstrations and Experiments 854
[17.18] A. In-Court Demonstrations 854
[17.19] B. Out-of-Court Demonstrations 859
[17.20] C. Guidelines for Effective Demonstrations..... 859
[17.21] D. Videotaped Demonstrations..... 860
[17.22] IX. Computer Animations 863

[17.23] A. Graphic Representations 863
[17.24] B. Substantive Presentations 867
[17.25] X. Digital Cameras..... 870

Chapter 18 Evidence
Prof. Robert A. Barker

[18.0] I. Introduction..... 875
[18.1] II. Hearsay..... 875
[18.2] A. Exceptions..... 877
[18.3] 1. Business Entry Rule..... 878
[18.4] 2. Statements Made for Purposes of Medical Diagnosis or Treatment..... 881
[18.5] 3. Treatises 882
[18.6] 4. Admissions by Servant or Employee..... 883
[18.7] 5. Residual Exception 885
[18.8] B. Non-hearsay 886
[18.9] III. Circumstantial Evidence 887
[18.10] A. Character Evidence 888
[18.11] B. Similar Occurrences..... 889
[18.12] 1. Accidents..... 889
[18.13] 2. Other Business Transactions..... 889
[18.14] 3. Sales of Property 890
[18.15] C. Habit and Custom 890
[18.16] 1. Workplace Habit 891
[18.17] 2. Custom and Usage 892
[18.18] D. Subsequent Remedial Measures 892
[18.19] E. Compromises, Liability Insurance, Medical Payments 893
[18.20] IV. Privileges; Confidential Communications 894
[18.21] A. Physician-Patient Privilege 894
[18.22] B. Spousal Privilege 897
[18.23] C. Other Privileges 898
[18.24] V. Authentication; Best Evidence Rule 899
[18.25] A. Authentication..... 899
[18.26] B. Best Evidence Rule 901
Bibliography..... 902

Chapter 19 Summation
Philip H. Magner, Jr., Esq.

[19.0] I. Introduction..... 905
[19.1] II. Applicable Law 905

[19.2] A. Objections and Interruptions 905
[19.3] B. Personal Attacks 906
[19.4] C. Improper Conduct 906
[19.5] D. Discussion of Damages 907
[19.6] 1. Suggestion of Total Damages to Be Awarded 907
[19.7] 2. Per-Diem or Time-Unit Approach 909
[19.8] 3. Scope of Acceptable Argument on Damages 911
[19.9] III. Purely Practical Aspects 912
[19.10] IV. Immediate Legal Preparation 913
[19.11] V. Immediate Physical Preparation 914
[19.12] VI. Philosophy of Trial and Psychology of Summation—Plaintiff 915
[19.13] VII. Philosophy of Trial and Psychology of Summation—Defendant 916
[19.14] VIII. Prerequisites to Effective Summation 919
[19.15] IX. Preparing the Argument 919
[19.16] X. Credibility 921
[19.17] XI. Negligence 922
[19.18] XII. Style and Technique 923

Chapter 20 Some Do's and Don'ts for Summation
Henry G. Miller, Esq.

[20.0] I. Introduction 929
[20.1] II. Tips and Techniques 929
[20.2] A. Don't Start Slowly 929
[20.3] B. Don't Be an Encyclopedia 929
[20.4] C. Don't Use Jargon 930
[20.5] D. Speak Plainly 930
[20.6] E. Speak Anglo-Saxon 930
[20.7] F. Use the Language of the Charge 930
[20.8] G. Don't Give Your Opinion 931
[20.9] H. Don't Get Carried Away 931
[20.10] I. Use an Outline 931
[20.11] J. Don't Answer Their Questions 932
[20.12] K. Don't Be Too Insensitive 932
[20.13] L. Don't Be Arrogant 932
[20.14] M. Don't Use a Weak Argument 932
[20.15] N. Don't Be Greedy 933
[20.16] O. Look at the Jury 933

[20.17] P. Don't Think of Yourself 934
[20.18] Q. Believe 934
[20.19] R. Have a Little Courage 934
[20.20] III. Conclusion 935

Chapter 21 Jury Instructions
Neil A. Goldberg, Esq.

[21.0] I. Introduction 939
[21.1] II. Request to Charge 939
[21.2] A. Timing of Request 939
[21.3] B. General or Special Verdict 940
[21.4] C. Trial Court's Duty 941
[21.5] 1. Scope of Charge 941
[21.6] 2. Marshaling Evidence 944
[21.7] D. Attorney's Duty 946
[21.8] III. Errors and Exceptions 947
[21.9] A. Objections 947
[21.10] B. Effect of Erroneous Instruction 948
[21.11] C. Specific Errors 949
[21.12] 1. Missing Witness 949
[21.13] 2. Entry into Juror Room 951
[21.14] 3. Emergency Doctrine 951
[21.15] 4. Omissions 951
[21.16] 5. Intruding on Jury Function 951
[21.17] 6. Other Errors 952
[21.18] a. Amnesia 952
[21.19] b. Negligence vs. Malpractice 952
[21.20] c. Seat Belts 953
[21.21] d. Statutes 953
[21.22] IV. Corrective Action 953
[21.23] V. Conclusion 954

Chapter 22 Post-trial Motions
Michael D. Shalhoub, Esq.
Daniel S. Ratner, Esq.

[22.0] I. Introduction 957
[22.1] II. General Considerations 959
[22.2] A. Post-trial Motion Not for Preserving Appeal Issues 959
[22.3] B. Strategic Considerations 960
[22.4] 1. Consider Whether Appropriate 960

[22.5] 2. Consider Issues to be Raised 961
[22.6] III. New York State Court Practice Governing Post-trial Motions 962
[22.7] A. Post-trial Motions in Jury and Nonjury Trials 962
[22.8] B. Time and Place of Motion 964
[22.9] C. Oral Motions after Verdict 965
[22.10] 1. Motion to Set Aside Verdict 965
[22.11] 2. Stay of Execution of Judgment 966
[22.12] D. One Motion Only 967
[22.13] E. Possible Post-trial Motion Relief 967
[22.14] 1. Judgment Notwithstanding the Verdict 967
[22.15] 2. New Trial 968
[22.16] a. Weight of Evidence 968
[22.17] b. Excessiveness or Inadequacy of Award 969
[22.18] c. Inconsistent or Compromise Verdict 970
[22.19] d. Jury Misconduct 971
[22.20] e. Misconduct of Counsel, Party or Judge 972
[22.21] f. Evidentiary or Charge Errors 972
[22.22] F. Miscellaneous Matters 973
[22.23] 1. Use of Trial Minutes 973
[22.24] 2. Time to Move 973
[22.25] 3. Form of Motion 974
[22.26] G. Annuitized Payments; Reduction of Judgment 975
[22.27] IV. Federal Practice Compared 975
[22.28] A. Post-trial Motions Generally; Time to Move; Form of Motions 976
[22.29] B. Motion for Judgment Notwithstanding the Verdict 976
[22.30] C. Motion for New Trial or to Amend Judgment 977
[22.31] D. Motion to Amend or Make Additional Findings 979
[22.32] E. Motion for Stay of Execution 980
[22.33] F. Motion for Relief from Judgment 980

Chapter 23 Trying Your First Trial? Nineteen Tiny Tips
Henry G. Miller, Esq.

[23.0] I. Introduction 985
[23.1] II. Tips and Techniques 985
[23.2] A. Forget Yourself 985
[23.3] B. Love Your Nerves 985
[23.4] C. Tell Them It's Your First Case 985
[23.5] D. Love Your Client 985

[23.6] E. Just Say "Objection" 986
[23.7] F. Ask Questions 986
[23.8] G. Don't Shoot Every Mosquito 987
[23.9] H. Make Lists 987
[23.10] I. Overprepare 987
[23.11] J. Watch Other Trials 988
[23.12] K. Be Yourself 988
[23.13] L. Be Innovative 988
[23.14] M. Be Respectful 988
[23.15] N. Be Frank 989
[23.16] O. Be Confident 989
[23.17] P. Never Be Arrogant 989
[23.18] Q. Don't Settle 989
[23.19] R. Keep Quiet 990
[23.20] S. Be Kind 990

Chapter 24 Settlement
Paul F. Jones, Esq.

[24.0] I. Settlement: The Lawyer's Objective 993
[24.1] II. Advantages of Settlement 993
[24.2] III. Plan for Settlement from Time of Retainer 995
[24.3] A. Preparing Case with Settlement in Mind 995
[24.4] B. Client Psychology 996
[24.5] C. Know the Client's Objective 998
[24.6] D. Dealing with Opposing Counsel 999
[24.7] IV. Evaluation of Case 999
[24.8] A. Total Knowledge of Strengths and Weaknesses of Case Is Key 999
[24.9] B. Consideration of Damage Elements in Personal Injury and Wrongful Death Cases 999
[24.10] C. Consideration of Damage Elements in Contract and Commercial Actions 1003
[24.11] D. Aids to Determine Value 1003
[24.12] 1. Experience 1003
[24.13] 2. Evaluation Committee 1003
[24.14] 3. Relevant Publications 1004
[24.15] 4. Mock Jury Trial 1004
[24.16] 5. Settlement Counsel 1005
[24.17] E. Payment of Personal Injury Settlement 1005
[24.18] 1. One Sum or Structured Payments 1005
[24.19] 2. Irrevocable Trust Option 1007

[24.20] V. Negotiating the Settlement 1008
[24.21] A. The Process 1008
[24.22] B. The First Step 1008
[24.23] C. Timing the Start of Negotiations 1009
[24.24] 1. Before Suit Commences 1009
[24.25] 2. During Litigation 1009
[24.26] 3. Pretrial Conference 1009
[24.27] 4. Settlement during Trial 1010
[24.28] 5. Post-verdict Discussions 1010
[24.29] D. Initial Demand or Offer 1011
[24.30] E. Effective Presentation of Settlement Position..... 1014
[24.31] F. Participation of Principals in Negotiations 1015
[24.32] G. Imagination and Resourcefulness 1015
[24.33] H. High-Low Agreements..... 1016
[24.34] VI. Enforcing the Settlement Agreement..... 1017
[24.35] A. Agreement Must Be in Writing..... 1017
[24.36] B. Courts Averse to Overturning Settlement Agreements 1018
[24.37] C. Second Injury Cases..... 1020
[24.38] D. Take Charge of Finalizing Agreement..... 1021
[24.39] E. Filing of Stipulation of Settlement..... 1021
[24.40] F. Procedure for Enforcing Settlement Agreements ... 1021
[24.41] G. Prompt Payment Following Settlement 1022
[24.42] VII. When Court Must Approve Settlement Agreement..... 1023
[24.43] A. Claim by Infant, Judicially Declared Incompetent or Conservatee 1023
[24.44] B. Death Cases..... 1024
[24.45] C. Municipalities 1024
[24.46] D. Class Actions 1025
[24.47] VIII. Pitfalls of Multiparty Settlements 1025
[24.48] A. Effect of Settlement on Contribution of Other Tortfeasors 1025
[24.49] B. *Mary Carter* Agreements..... 1027

Chapter 25 Role of Alternative Dispute Resolution
Elayne E. Greenberg, Esq.

[25.0] I. Introduction..... 1031
[25.1] II. What is ADR?..... 1031
[25.2] III. But I'm a Litigator 1032
[25.3] IV. Interview the Conflict Expert: Your Client..... 1036
[25.4] V. Decision Tree: Your Road Map of Interventions..... 1039

[25.5] VI. ADR Processes 1043
[25.6] A. *Marx Brothers v. Warner Brothers*: The Conflict .. 1043
[25.7] B. *Marx Brothers v. Warner Brothers*: The Hypothetical 1045
[25.8] C. Mediation 1046
[25.9] 1. Who Are the Neutrals? 1048
[25.10] 2. Remedies 1048
[25.11] 3. Practice Issues 1048
[25.12] D. Arbitration 1049
[25.13] 1. Who Are the Neutrals? 1050
[25.14] 2. Remedies 1051
[25.15] 3. Practice Issues 1051
[25.16] E. Arbitration Variations 1052
[25.17] 1. High/Low or Bracketed Arbitration 1052
[25.18] 2. Baseball Arbitration 1053
[25.19] 3. Medaloa 1053
[25.20] 4. Mediation/Arbitration 1053
[25.21] 5. Mediation/Then Arbitration 1054
[25.22] 6. Arbitration/Mediation 1054
[25.23] F. Neutral Evaluation or Early Neutral Evaluation 1055
[25.24] G. Mini-Trial 1055
[25.25] H. Summary Jury Trial 1056
[25.26] VII. Putting It All Together with the Marx Brothers and Warner Brothers Dispute 1057
[25.27] VIII. Conclusion 1060
Appendix 1061

Biographies 1065
Table of Authorities 1079
Index 1141

ABOUT THE EDITORS

NEIL A. GOLDBERG, ESQ.

Neil A. Goldberg is a senior trial partner with Goldberg Segalla, LLP, in Buffalo. A graduate, *cum laude*, of the State University of New York at Buffalo School of Law, Mr. Goldberg has been the editor / co-editor of seven books and the author of numerous book chapters and articles on products liability, insurance coverage, professional malpractice and trial advocacy. Among his many publications, Mr. Goldberg is the Editor-in-Chief and a contributing author to *Products Liability in New York* (1997) and the 2002 supplement to that book published by the New York State Bar Association. He is also the editor and a contributing author to the *Daubert Compendium* (2002), published by the Defense Research Institute. He is currently the president of Lawyers for Civil Justice (LCJ) and is a past president of the Defense Research Institute. Mr. Goldberg is a member of the American, New York State and Erie County bar associations; The Federation of Defense and Corporate Counsel; The Product Liability Advisory Counsel and the International Association of Defense Counsel. He is a past chair of the Product Liability Committee of the Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section of the New York State Bar Association and the Product Liability Section of the Defense Research Institute. Mr. Goldberg is a frequent lecturer for the New York State Bar Association, Practising Law Institute, Defense Research Institute and many other state bar associations.

JOHN P. FREEDENBERG, ESQ.

John P. Freedenberg concentrates his practice in the areas of products liability, catastrophic personal injury and intellectual property litigation at the Buffalo, NY firm of Goldberg Segalla, LLP. From 1997 to the present, Jack has served as national coordinating counsel for General Electric Company, and has handled the defense of numerous products liability actions for GE around the United States. These have included catastrophic personal injury cases and major fire-damage cases, and have involved appliances, controls, power generating equipment, electrical systems and aircraft engines. Jack has had the unique opportunity to depose plaintiffs' experts in a wide range of specialties, and has developed proven techniques for disqualifying "junk-science" purveyors under applicable rules of evidence. A *magna cum laude* graduate of the State University of New York at Buffalo, Mr. Freedenberg received his law degree from the State University of New York at Buffalo

Law School and was the recipient of the Robert J. Connelly Trial Technique Award. He serves as co-chair of the Products Liability Committee Fire and Property Damage Specialized Litigation Group for the Defense Research Institute and is a member of the New York State and Erie County bar associations. Publications include co-authorship of *Defending the Catastrophic Burn Injury Case* (2002), *Defense Counsel's Approach to Cross-Examination in the Post-Daubert Era* (1996) and *Finding Facts in Products Liability Litigation* (1996), all for the Defense Research Institute. He has also presented lectures for the New York State Bar Association.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

HONORABLE HAROLD BAER, JR.

Harold Baer, Jr., is a United States District Court Judge. He resigned from the New York State Supreme Court in 1992 after serving in that capacity for ten years. For two years before his induction as a District Court Judge, he was the Executive Judicial Officer at JAMS/Endispute where he supervised and did dispute resolution work. Prior to his election to the Supreme Court, he was for a decade in charge of litigation in a Wall Street law firm. He was Assistant United States Attorney and headed the Organized Crime and Racketeering Unit of that office in the 1960s; he returned as First Assistant U.S. Attorney and Chief of the Criminal Division in 1970. Judge Baer served on the Mollen Commission, a Special Unit of the New York State Commission of Investigation and the state Commission on the Governmental Operations of the City of New York. He has been active in the New York State, City and County bar associations, chairing committees at each. He is a founder of the Network of Bar Leaders and is a past president of the New York County Lawyers Association. In addition to hundreds of published opinions, he has written extensively on legal topics, with some 50 books, pamphlets and articles to his credit. He graduated, *magna cum laude* and Phi Beta Kappa, from Hobart College and from Yale Law School in 1957.

PROFESSOR ROBERT A. BARKER

Robert A. Barker is Professor Emeritus of Law at Albany Law School, Union University. He is a former Associate Dean of the Law School and Executive Director of the New York State Law Revision Commission. He coordinated the original study and proposal for the New York Code of Evi-

dence. For many years, he authored the evidence article for the *Annual Survey of New York Law.* Additionally, for many years, he was a lecturer on evidence, with Dean Jerome Prince, at the annual New York State Judicial Seminars.

Professor Barker is co-author, along with Oscar Chase, of *Civil Litigation in New York* (2d ed.) and, for 13 years, was the author of the "New York Practice" column for the *New York Law Journal*. He received both his undergraduate and law degrees from Syracuse University.

ROBERT J. BOHNER, ESQ.

Robert J. Bohner is Of Counsel to the Garden City, New York, firm of Frank J. Livoti & Associates, P.C., where he is a personal injury trial lawyer. He is a graduate of St. John's University School of Law and is a member of the American, New York State, Queens County and Nassau County Bar Associations. A former Vice President of the New York State Bar Association, he is a member of the Association of Trial Lawyers of America and the New York State Trial Lawyers Association. Mr. Bohner is immediate Past President of the New York City Chapter of the American Board of Trial Advocates (ABOTA) and is a member of the Million Dollar Advocates Forum. He is a Past President of the Queens County Bar Association, has served as Chair of the Trial Lawyers Section of the New York State Bar Association, and on the Executive Committee of the NYSBA's Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section. Currently, he is a member of the Executive Committee of the Trial Lawyers Section.

Mr. Bohner was counsel for the successful plaintiff in the landmark case of *Scurti v. New York City et al.*, 40 N.Y.2d 433, 387 N.Y.S.2d 55, in which the Court of Appeals, with two other cases, abolished the centuries-old law of status-based landowner's liability. In 2003, he achieved a $112 million verdict in the case of *Fellin v. Long Island College Hospital*, involving a brain-damaged quadriplegic.

Mr. Bohner has been a speaker at various continuing legal education programs, most recently "Trial Practice Skills" and ABOTA's "Masters in Trial" program. His articles have appeared in the New York State Bar Association *Journal*, *Trial Lawyers Quarterly* and the *Queens Bar Bulletin.*

EILEEN E. BUHOLTZ, ESQ.

Eileen E. Buholtz graduated from Eastman School of Music in 1973 with a Bachelor of Music degree as a clarinet major, and from Syracuse University in 1979 with a Doctor of Jurisprudence degree. She is a partner at Connors & Corcoran, L.L.P. in Rochester, where she concentrates her practice in litigation, both commercial and insurance defense. She has served on the Executive Committee of the New York State Conference of Bar Leaders. Currently, she is Chair of the New York State Bar Association's Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section and serves on the Association's President's Committee for Access to Justice, as well as its CPLR and Law Office and Economic Management Committees.

Ms. Buholtz is Past President of the Greater Rochester Association for Women Attorneys and has served as secretary, treasurer and vice-president of the Women's Bar Association of the State of New York. She is a member of the American Board of Trial Advocates, the American Bar Association, the Defense Research Institute and the Monroe County Bar Association. She is past chair of the Monroe County Bar Association's Committee on Access to Legal Services. She has lectured for the New York State Bar Association, the Monroe County Bar Association, Lorman Education Services, and the Greater Rochester Association for Women Attorneys on topics involving civil litigation and ethics.

FRANK J. CIANO, ESQ.

Frank J. Ciano is the managing partner for Goldberg Segalla's White Plains and New York City offices. A registered patent attorney, Mr. Ciano concentrates his practice in the areas of products liability, labor law, asbestos, catastrophic personal injury and intellectual property litigation. He is a graduate of Hofstra University School of Law and received his Bachelor of Engineering from Manhattan College. His professional memberships include the New York State Bar Association, the Federation of Defense and Corporate Counsel, Columbian Lawyers Association and the Association of Defense Trial Attorneys.

RICHARD J. COHEN, ESQ.

Richard J. Cohen is the managing partner at the law firm of Goldberg Segalla L.L.P., which has offices in Buffalo, Albany, Rochester, White Plains and New York City, and is the head of its insurance coverage litiga-

tion practice group. He graduated from the State University of New York at Buffalo School of Management, *with distinction*, and its School of Law, *cum laude*. Mr. Cohen's practice is almost exclusively first- and third-party coverage and bad faith matters involving personal and commercial lines. He is a member of the New York and Pennsylvania Bars and is a national author and lecturer on coverage and bad faith, having spoken in New York, California, Arizona, Pennsylvania, Illinois, Louisiana, Maryland, Washington, D.C. and elsewhere on a wide variety of topics. Additionally, he and Tom Segalla co-authored three of the last eight annual issues of the "Survey of New York Insurance Law," published in the *Syracuse Law Review.* Mr. Cohen is the National Co-Chair of the Insurance Company Relations Subcommittee of the Defense Research Institute, Programs and Projects Chair for DRI's Homeowners Insurance Subcommittee, and former national membership Chair of DRI's Life, Health and Disability Insurance Section. He has also been a CPCU instructor since 1999.

J. PETER COLL, JR., ESQ.

J. Peter Coll, Jr., is a partner with the international firm of Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe L.L.P., practicing in its New York City office. A graduate of Georgetown Law School, where he was an editor of the law journal, Mr. Coll is a trial lawyer with a general litigation practice. He is admitted to practice in all New York federal district courts, as well as the United States District Courts for the Districts of Arizona, Connecticut and the Northern District of California; the United States Circuit Courts of Appeals for the First, Second, Third, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, Ninth, Eleventh and Federal Circuits; the Supreme Court of the United States; and the United States Tax Court. He sits on the Board of Advisors *BNA Product Safety and Liability Reporter* and is a contributing author to *Commercial Litigation in New York State Courts* (West 1995, 2003 Supp.). He is a member of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, New York County Lawyers' Association, New York State and American Bar Associations and the Federal Bar Council.

ELAYNE E. GREENBERG, ESQ.

Elayne E. Greenberg, M.S., J.D., has developed programs, trained, written and lectured nationally on the subject of alternative dispute resolution. At present, Ms. Greenberg is ADR Development Director at Education & Assistance Corporation (EAC) and is a Special Professor of Law at Hofstra Law School and Hofstra's Graduate Program in Psychology. Admitted to

practice law in the state of New York, Ms. Greenberg holds degrees from Brooklyn College (B.A. *cum laude* in Early Childhood Education and M.S. in Teaching Emotionally Handicapped), Hofstra University (Certificate in Educational Administration) and Touro College Jacob D. Fuchsberg Law Center (J.D. *magna cum laude*, salutatorian, law review). Ms. Greenberg is currently Chair of the New York State Bar Association's Alternative Dispute Resolution Committee.

MICHAEL HOENIG, ESQ.

Michael Hoenig is a member of Herzfeld & Rubin, P.C., of New York City, concentrating in products liability and complex litigation. He has served as national, regional and local defense counsel for a number of foreign and domestic companies. His practice includes all phases of product litigation; negotiations in complex or catastrophic injury cases; class actions; regulation matters; and preventive counseling. He heads a major litigation group engaged in product liability defense from inception of claims through trials and appeals.

For over three decades, Mr. Hoenig has been involved with traditional and frontier issues in products liability, including product design, accident causation, safety, vehicle crashworthiness and warnings. He has written and lectured extensively on these subjects.

Since September 1977, Mr. Hoenig has been the author of the "Products Liability" column, a regular feature of the *New York Law Journal*. He is the author of *Products Liability: Substantive, Procedural and Policy Issues* (1992); "The Law of Manufacturing and Design Liability," in *Products Liability in New York: Strategy and Practice* (New York State Bar Association, 1997); and numerous law review articles. He is a member of the Board of Advisors of the *BNA Product Safety and Liability Reporter.*

A Director of the Product Liability Advisory Council, Inc., Mr. Hoenig is a long-time member of its Case Selection Committee and a Fellow of the Product Liability Advisory Council Foundation. In 1965, he received his J.D. degree from St. John's University School of Law, where he was Articles Editor of the *St. John's Law Review* and Editor of its *Biannual Survey of the New York Practice*. Mr. Hoenig is a member of the American and New York State Bar Associations, and the New York County Lawyers' Association. He is admitted to practice in New York state and federal

courts, various circuit courts of appeal and in the United States Supreme Court.

E. STEWART JONES, JR., ESQ.

E. Stewart Jones, Jr., of The Jones Firm in Troy, is a Fellow of the International Academy of Trial Lawyers, the International Society of Barristers, the Inner Circle of Advocates, the American Board of Criminal Lawyers and the American College of Trial Lawyers. He is a Diplomate of the American Board of Professional Liability Attorneys and the National Board of Trial Advocacy. He is certified as a Civil Trial Specialist and as a Criminal Trial Specialist of the National Board of Trial Advocacy. He served as Assistant District Attorney, then Special Prosecutor, for Rensselaer County. Mr. Jones is a member of the New York State Bar Association, the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and the Association of Trial Lawyers of America; and is a Fellow of the American and New York Bar Foundations. He has lectured on criminal and civil practice and trial techniques for a number of state, local and national bar associations, and has published extensively on trial advocacy.

PAUL F. JONES, ESQ.

Paul F. Jones is a partner in the Buffalo office of Phllips Lytle LLP where his areas of practice include the defense of personal injury litigation (product liability, chemical exposure, workplace accidents and other tort actions) and the prosecution and defense of general commercial litigation (contract, commercial tort, insurance and defamation actions). He received his undergraduate degree in physics from the University of Notre Dame and his law degree from the University of Notre Dame Law School. Mr. Jones is a member of the American Law Institute, the American Bar Association, and the Defense Research Institute. He is also a member of the New York State Bar Association's Executive Committee in the Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section.

MATTHEW S. LERNER, ESQ.

Matthew Lerner is an associate in the Albany office of the firm of Goldberg Segalla L.L.P. He received his undergraduate degree from the University at Buffalo and his law degree from the University at Buffalo School of Law. Before joining Goldberg Segalla, Mr. Lerner was a court attorney on the New York Court of Appeals' Central Staff, where he wrote confidential

memoranda to the Judges and the Clerk of the Court concerning civil motions for leave to appeal, appeals as of right, and certified questions of law. Mr. Lerner concentrates on appellate practice, construction worksite litigation, insurance coverage and insurance defense. He is a member of the New York State Bar Association and the Defense Research Institute.

RICHARD B. LONG, ESQ.

Richard B. Long is Of Counsel to the Binghamton, New York, law firm of Coughlin & Gerhart L.L.P., and former chair of its Litigation Department. Ha is a former Trustee of Syracuse University and a former member of the Board of Visitors of Syracuse Law School. An active member of the New York State Bar Association, Mr. Long is a member of the Executive Committee and former Chair of the Trial Lawyers Section, and is also active in the Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law and Commercial and Federal Litigation Sections. He chaired the Association's Special Committee to Improve the Civil Justice System and the Special Committee to Monitor Commencement by Filing, and currently serves on its Special Committee on Public Trust and Confidence in the Legal System, Special Task Force on Judicial Reorganization, and Judicial Selection Committee.

Mr. Long is a Fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers, a Fellow of the American and New York Bar Foundations, and is a member of the Office of Court Administration's Advisory Committee on Civil Practice, and the Federation of Insurance and Corporate Counsel. He is also Chair of New York's Commission on Uniform State Laws and a Life Member of the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. Mr. Long has lectured extensively on civil procedure.

PHILIP H. MAGNER, JR., ESQ.

Philip H. Magner, Jr., is Special Counsel with Lipsitz, Green, Fahringer, Roll, Salisbury & Cambria L.L.P., of Buffalo. He is a Fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers, a former governor of the Association of Trial Lawyers of America, a member of the Board of Directors of the American Board of Professional Liability Attorneys, a former member of the House of Delegates of the New York State Bar Association, a former Governor of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, former President and Vice President of the Bar Association of Erie County, the Erie County Bar Foundation and the Western New York Trial Lawyers Association. For more than 20 years, Mr. Magner presided over the New York Judicial Nominating

Commission for the Fourth Judicial Department. He has lectured on trial techniques and medical-legal subjects for the American Bar Association, the American Medical Association, the New York State Bar Association and various other bar associations and medical groups. He has contributed articles to *American Bar Association Journal,* the New York State Bar Association *Journal* and the NYSBA's *Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Journal*, for which he was also assistant editor for several years. Mr. Magner has received the Distinguished Lawyer of the Year Award from the Bar Association of Erie County, a Special Award for Outstanding Efforts in Defense of the Civil Jury Trial from the Western New York Trial Lawyers Association, and a Special Award from the Trial Lawyers Section of the New York State Bar Association.

ROBERT C. MEADE, JR., ESQ.

Robert C. Meade, Jr., is First Deputy Chief Clerk and Director of the Commercial Division, Supreme Court, New York County. Previously, he was law secretary to the Honorable Walter M. Schackman, Commercial Division, New York County Supreme Court, and law secretary to the Honorable Harold Baer, Jr., when he was on that court. Mr. Meade attended law school at Fordham University. A former Co-chair of the Committee on the Supreme Court of the New York County Lawyers' Association, he is a member of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York and serves on the Advisory Committee on the CPLR of the Chief Administrative Judge of the State of New York.

NEIL MERKL, ESQ.

Neil Merkl is a partner in the New York City office of Kelly Drye & Warren. His practice focuses on commercial and complex litigation. He is a *cum laude* graduate of Fordham University School of Law and a graduate of Fordham University. His professional experience includes acting as trial counsel for JPMorgan Chase in a billion-dollar lawsuit against eleven insurance companies relating to surety bonds on commodities transactions with Enron and mounting a successful defense of a commercial bank in lender liability/fraud actions.

HENRY G. MILLER, ESQ.

Henry G. Miller, a graduate of St. John's College (1952) and St. John's Law School (1959), is Past President of both the Westchester County Bar Association and the New York State Bar Association. He is a Past Regent of the American College of Trial Lawyers, a Past Director of the International Academy of Trial Lawyers, a Fellow of both the American and New York Bar Foundations and is a Past Director of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association. Mr. Miller is presently the senior member of the White Plains, New York, law firm of Clark, Gagliardi & Miller, P.C. His trial work has usually been for plaintiffs in civil cases.

Mr. Miller was appointed by Governor Mario Cuomo to chair the Commission on Local Government Ethics, and by Governor George Pataki to serve on the Committee on Cameras in the Courtroom.

A featured guest on television and radio, Mr. Miller is the author of *Settlements* in the "Art of Advocacy" series and the editor of the *New York Practice Guide on Negligence*—both published by Matthew Bender. His most recent publication is *On Trial: Lessons from a Lifetime in the Courtroom*, published by ALM Publishing.

Mr. Miller has also written for and appeared in Off-Broadway (usually way-off) productions. His play *Lawyers* was performed at the Emelin Theater and the Westport Country Playhouse. His latest play, *Alger—A Story*, had a reading in New York with Fritz Weaver and Kevin Conway.

JAMES C. MOORE, ESQ.

James C. Moore is a partner in Harter, Secrest & Emery of Rochester. A graduate of Cornell University and the Cornell Law School, Mr. Moore is a member of the American Bar Association, New York State Bar Association and the Monroe County Bar Association; a Fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers and the American Bar Foundation; and is an elected member of the American Law Institute. He was President of the New York State Bar Association from 1998–1999. Mr. Moore has published extensively, and his articles have appeared in the New York State Bar Association *Journal*, *Journal of the Insurance, Negligence and Compensation Law Section*, *New York State Professional Engineer*, *Syracuse Law Review* and *Pace Law Review.*

DANIEL S. RATNER, ESQ.

Daniel S. Ratner is a partner at Heidell, Pittoni, Murphy & Bach, LLP in New York City and heads the firm's Appellate Practice group. Mr. Ratner joined HPM&B in 1994 after five years as an Assistant District Attorney in the Office of the District Attorney, Bronx County, New York. In addition to handling substantive motions at the trial level, Mr. Ratner works closely with the firm's trial attorneys in developing legal strategies. Mr. Ratner received his undergraduate degree from Dickinson College and his law degree from Fordham University School of Law. He is a member of the Defense Research Institute and the New York State Medical Defense Bar Association.

JAMES REILAND, ESQ.

James Reiland is an associate in the New York City office of Kelly Drye & Warren, where he works in litigation. He received his JD from Northwestern University Law School and his undergraduate degree from Washington University.

JOSEPH RITZERT, ESQ.

Joseph Ritzert is with the Buffalo firm of Phillips, Lytle, Hitchcock, Blaine & Huber. He received his J.D. from Ohio State University School of Law, where he was a member of the law journal staff. Mr. Ritzert's memberships include the New York State Bar Association, the NYSBA's Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law and Trial Lawyers Sections, and the Defense Research Institute.

THOMAS F. SEGALLA, ESQ.

Thomas F. Segalla is a senior trial partner and chair of the Insurance Litigation Department in the Buffalo office of Goldberg Segalla L.L.P., which also has offices in Albany, Rochester, White Plains and New York City. Mr. Segalla is a *cum laude* graduate of the State University of New York at Buffalo School of Law. He is a member of the Federation of Defense and Corporate Counsel (Chair of Publications Committee), the Defense Research Institute (Vice Chair of the Law Institute; Past Chair of the Insurance Law Committee), the International Association of Defense Counsel (member of the Environmental Committee) and the New York State Bar Association (where he serves on various insurance and environmental committees). He

has lectured and published extensively for these professional organizations in the fields of insurance coverage, labor law, environmental law and premises liability.

Mr. Segalla was a consultant on the revision of the renowned insurance treatise *Couch on Insurance* (3d ed.), where he provided practice commentaries to the analytical discussion. He is also on the Board of Editorial Consultants for the monthly *Bad Faith Update*, published by Matthew Bender & Co., and has been listed on their Web site as an Expert Authority in the field of insurance law. His litigation practice is largely devoted to the defense of general insurance and coverage matters, New York labor law, bad faith and fraud and environmental and toxic torts.

MICHAEL D. SHALHOUB, ESQ.

Michael D. Shalhoub is a partner in the New York City office of Heidell, Pittoni, Murphy & Bach L.L.P. He received his undergraduate degree from Fordham University and his law degree from St. John's University School of Law. Mr. Shalhoub is a trial lawyer whose practice concentrates in the defense of business and insurance interests that have been brought into litigation over products liability, professional liability, employment discrimination and commercial disputes. He speaks and writes frequently on the topics of products liability and medical liability.

Mr. Shalhoub is Chair of the Defense Research Institute's Medical Liability and Health Care Law Committee and a Vice-Chair of the Federation of Defense and Corporate Counsel's sections on Pharmaceutical Litigation and Medical Malpractice.

JAMES C. UGHETTA, ESQ.

James C. Ughetta is a partner in the New York Metro office of Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker L.L.P. He received his undergraduate degree from Denison University and his law degree from St. John's University School of Law. Mr. Ughetta's primary areas of practice are product liability and trials. He has extensive trial experience representing companies in high-exposure cases on a local and national level. Some of the products Mr. Ughetta has defended in product liability cases include: automobile restraint systems, child restraint systems, vans, motorcycles, helmets, tractors, road rollers, dump trucks, trailers, lawnmowers, snow throwers, chain

saws, golf carts, medical devices, glass bottles, swimming pool products and chemical products.

A member of the New York and New Jersey Bars, Mr. Ughetta is admitted to the United States Supreme Court and the United States District Court of the Southern District of New York, Eastern District of New York, Northern District of New York and District of New Jersey.

KEVIN J. WALSH, ESQ.

Kevin J. Walsh is a litigating partner in the New York office of Lord Bissell & Brook L.L.P. Mr. Walsh has over 20 years' experience in complex commercial litigation and, in particular, in litigation and arbitration for the insurance and reinsurance industries. He has written extensively on litigation and on insurance and insurance-related topics. In addition to his contribution to this text, Mr. Walsh is a contributing author to *Commercial Litigation in New York Courts* (West Publishing, 1995) and *Law and Practice of Insurance Coverage Litigation* (West Publishing, 2000). He is a graduate of Fordham University (B.A. *magna cum laude*, Phi Beta Kappa) and the University of Virginia School of Law.

ANDREW L. WEITZ, PH.D., ESQ.

Andrew L. Weitz is an accomplished litigator with diverse experience. He has handled many cases that have resulted in multi-million dollar verdicts and settlements.

Mr. Weitz, who earned his Ph.D. from New York University, is a graduate of Brooklyn Law School. He served as Articles Editor for the *Brooklyn Law Review* and has authored numerous articles in the personal injury and product liability field.

Since March 2001, Mr. Weitz has served as editor-in-chief of *Bill of Particulars*, the prestigious quarterly publication of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association (NYSTLA).

Prior to becoming a founding partner of Weitz, Kleinick & Weitz, L.L.P., Mr. Weitz was with The Cochran Firm, Schneider, Kleinick & Weitz.

HARVEY WEITZ, ESQ.

Harvey Weitz is an attorney at Schneider & Kleinick Weitz, Damashek & Shoot in New York City and a member of the New York State Bar Association.