#### **REPORT #772**

## **TAX SECTION**

## New York State Bar Association

Report on Proposed Amendment to Rules Relating to the New York City Real Property Transfer Tax

## **Table of Contents**

Cover Letter:	i
COMMITTEE ON NEW YORK CITY TAX MATTERS	1
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	4
AMENDMENT TO RULES RELATING TO THE	б
BASIS AND PURPOSE OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT	ρ

#### OFFICERS PETER C. CANELLOS

299 Park Avenue New York City 10171 212/371-9200

#### MICHAEL L. SCHLER

First Vice-Chair Worldwide Plaza 825 Fighth Avenue New York City 10019 212/474-1588

CAROLYN JOY LEE Second Vice-Chair 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York City, NY 10112 212/903-8761

#### RICHARD I. REINHOLD

80 Pine Street New York N.Y 10005 212/701-3672

#### COMMITTEES CHAIRS

Bankruptcy

Stuart J. Goldring. New York City Dennis E. Ross. New York City

#### **Compliance and Penalties**

Arnold Y. Kapitoff, New York City Consolidated Returns

#### Patrick C. Gallagher, New York City Irving Salem. New York City

**Continuing Legal Education** Thomas V. Glynn. New York City

#### Victor F. Keen. New York City

Corporations Yaron Z. Rich. New York City

#### Steven M. Todrys, New York City **Estate and Trusts**

Kim E. Baptista, New York City Steven M. Lomb, New York City Financial Institutions

## Jodi J. Schwartz, New York City Esta E. Stecher, New York City

Financial Instruments Richard c. Blake, New York City Bruce Kayla, New York City

### Foreign Activities of U.S. Taxpayers Cynthia G. Beerbower, New York City

Philip R. West, New York City Income From Real Property William B. Brannan, New York City

Michele P. Scott, Newark, NJ Individuals Deborah Schenk, New York City

#### **Net Operating Losses** Kenneth H. Heitner. New York City

Robert A. Jacob, New York City **New York City Tax Matters** 

## Robert J. Levinsohn, New York City Robert Plautz, New York City

New York State Tax Maters Robert E. Brown, Rocheste James A. Locke, Buffalo

#### Nonqualified Employee Benefits Stephen T. Lindo, New York City Loran T. Thompson, New York City

Partnerships Stephen L. Millman, New York City

#### Joel Schartstein, New York City Paas-Through Entities Roger J. Baneman. New York City

Thomas A. Humphreys, New York City

Practice and Procedure

#### Richard J. Bronstein, New York City Stuart E. Seigel. New York City **Qualified Plans**

#### Stuart N. Alperin, New York City Kenneth C. Edgar, Jr., New York City

Reorganizations
Andrew N. Berg, New York City.

#### Richard M. Leder, New York City Sales, Property and Miscellaneous

E. Parker Brown, II. Syracuse Paul R. Comeau, Buffalo State and Local

#### Arthur R. Rosen, New York City Sterling L. Weaver, Rochester Tax Accounting Matters

Flliot Pisem. New York City Mary Kate Wold, New York City

#### Tax Exempt Bonds Linda D'Onofrio, New York City

Patti T. Wu, New York City **Tax Exempt Entities** Harvey P. Dale, New York City

#### Franklin L. Green, New York City Tax Policy Reuven Avi-Yonah, New York City

Robert H. Scarborough, New York City Tax Preferences and AMT Katherine M. Bristor, New York City

#### Stephen B. Land, New York City U.S. Activities of Foreign Taxpayers Michael Hirschfeld, New York City Kenneth R. Silbergleit, New York City

## TAX SECTION

## New York State Bar Association

#### MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

M. Bernard Aidinoff Anne L. Aistott Harold R. Handler

David P. Hariton Edward D. Kleinbard Richard O. Loengard, Jr. Charles M. Morgan.III Ronald A. Pearlman

Mikel M. Rollyson Mathew A. Roser Stanley I. Rubenfeld

Dana Trier Eugene L. Vogel David E. Watts

October 15, 1993

Simon G. Salas, Esq. Deputy Commissioner for Legal Affairs 345 Adams Street Brooklyn, NY 11201

Re: New York City Real Property Transfer Tax Rules

Dear Mr. Salas:

I enclose the report on the proposed amendment to the Rules relating to the New York City Real Property Transfer Tax, which was approved by the Executive Committee of the Tax Section at its meeting yesterday, October 14.

Sincerely yours,

Robert J. Levinsohn

RJL:bld enclosure

#### FORMER CHAIRS OF SECTION

Howard O. Colgan Charles L. Kades Carter T. Louthan Samuel Brodsky Thomas C. Plowden-Wardlaw Edwin M. Jones Hon. Hugh R. Jones Peter Miller

John W. Fager John E. Morrissey Jr. Charles E. Heming Richard H. Appert Ralph O. Winger Hewitt A. Conway Martin D. Ginsburg Peter L. Faber

Hon. Renato Beghe Alfred D. Youngwood Gordon D. Henderson David Sachs J. Roger Mentz Willard B. Taylor Richard J. Hiegel Dale S. Collinson

Richard G. Cohen Donald Schapiro Herbert L. Camp William L. Burke Arthur A. Feder James M. Peaslee John A. Corry

# NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION TAX SECTION

#### COMMITTEE ON NEW YORK CITY TAX MATTERS

Report on Proposed Amendment to Rules Relating to the New York city Real Property Transfer Tax<sup>1</sup>

The Commissioner of Finance has published a proposed amendment to the Rules relating to the New York City Real Property Transfer Tax ("Transfer Tax"), in relation to mergers and consolidations of limited partnerships. A copy of the proposed amendment is attached.

The existing Transfer Tax Rules, § 23-03(e)(2), provide as follows with respect to corporate mergers:

"A transfer of real property in a statutory merger or consolidation from a constituent corporation to the continuing or new corporation is not subject to tax. However, the related transfer of shares of stock in a statutory merger or consolidation may be subject to tax."

Under the first sentence of the above Rule, there is no tax on the entity-level conveyance from the merging to the surviving corporation. Under the second sentence, however, there may be a shareholder-level tax because the transaction effects a transfer of a controlling economic interest in real property (50% or more of the stock of the merging corporation), taxable under Adm. Code §§ 11-2101 subd. 7 and 8, 11-2102.b(1). The existing Rule goes on

1

This report was prepared by Robert J. Levinsohn. Helpful comments were received from Carolyn Joy Lee.

to give examples of situations where there is and is not a shareholder level tax, the latter involving the merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary into a parent and the merger of two wholly-owned subsidiaries.

The proposed amendment, covering mergers or consolidations of limited partnerships under the New York Revised Limited Partnership Act, which was effective July 1, 1991, or under comparable provisions of other states' partnership laws, essentially tracks the language of the existing Rule on corporate mergers. However, there follows only an example of a situation where there is no tax at either the entity or the partnership level, in a case where the interests of the partners in both of the merging partnerships are identical. There is no example of a situation where there is a partner-level tax because a controlling economic interest is transferred, which the above statutory provisions define for this purpose as 50% or more of the capital or profits in a partnership.

We commend the City for updating its rules to correspond to New York's revised limited partnership law. We offer the following comments suggesting improvements in the proposal.

1. We believe it would be helpful to practitioners if the illustrations were expanded to include an example of the application of the second sentence of the proposed Rule, where a tax is incurred at the partner level. This could be done by a cross reference to Illustration (i) of the corporate merger rule, or by adding an example with facts corresponding to that illustration covering a limited partnership merger where a partner-level tax is clearly incurred.

- 2. We recommend that the proposed Rule be expanded to apply to any type of merger permitted under the laws of any state, such as the merger of a Delaware limited partnership (which owns New York City real property) into a pre-existing Delaware corporation, a merger specifically permitted under Delaware law. In such a merger, there would be no entity level tax, and taxation at the partner-shareholder level would depend on whether a controlling economic interest was being transferred. Thus, there should be no tax where the interests of the members of the merging partnership were identical to their interests as shareholders of the surviving corporation.
- 3. In the alternative, we recommend that the proposal at least be expanded to cover any mixed-entity merger permitted under New York law. The effect of such a provision would be to automatically cover mergers of limited liability companies and limited partnerships, as permitted under the pending LLC legislation, if and when it is enacted. This would obviate the need to amend the Rules again when the pending LLC legislation becomes law. Such a change would be entirely within the spirit of the proposed application of the rules to limited partnership mergers.

# THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

# NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT ON PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE RULES RELATING TO THE REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY VESTED IN THE Commissioner of Finance by section 11-2112(1) of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, and in accordance with the requirements of section 1043 of the New York City Charter, that the New York City Department of Finance intends to adopt the following proposed Amendment to Rules Relating to the Real Property Transfer Tax which is necessary to carry out the powers and duties delegated to the Commissioner of Finance by chapter 21 of title II of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

Written comments regarding this proposed amendment must be submitted to the office of Sim6n G. Salas, Deputy Commissioner for Legal Affairs, 345 Adams Street, Brooklyn, New York 11201 on or before October 14, 1993.

A hearing for public comment will be held on October 14, 1993 at 345 Adams Street, 3rd Floor, Brooklyn, New York at 9:30 A.M. Persons seeking to testify are requested to notify Natalie Schultz at (718) 403-3747 at least three business days prior to the date scheduled for the hearing, although such notice is not required by law.

Written comments and an audio tape recording of oral comments received at the hearing will be available for public review, within a reasonable time after such hearing, by

appointment between the hours of 9:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. on weekdays at the office of Gerald Koszer, Records Access Officer, 345 Adams Street, 3rd Floor, Brooklyn, New York.

Note: New matter <u>underscored</u>.

# AMENDMENT TO RULES RELATING TO THE REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX

Section 1. Subdivision (e) of section 23-03 of Title 19 of the Compilation of the Rules of the City of New York Relating to the Real Property Transfer Tax, promulgated July 30, 1968 and last amended November 29, 1990, is amended to add a new paragraph (4) thereto to read as follows:

property or a transfer of a controlling economic interest in real property In a mercer or consolidation of two or more limited

Partnerships from a constituent limited partnership to the continuing or new limited partnership is not subject to tax if the merger or consolidation is pursuant to Article 8-A of the New York Partnership Law or pursuant to comparable provisions of the partnership laws of another state, territory, possession of the United States, the District of Columbia, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico\_ However, the related transfer, of partnership interests in the merger or consolidation may be subject to tax.

To illustrate: A owns a 90% limited partnership interest in capital and profits in each of limited partnerships X and Y. B is a general partner of both partnerships and owns the remaining 10% partnership interest in capital and profits in each limited partnership, X owns real property in New York City. Pursuant to Article B-A of the New York Partnership Law, x will merge into y. Following the merger A will have a 90% limited partnership

interest in capital and profits in Y, and B will be the general partner with a 10% partnership interest in capital and profits in Y. The related transfers of partnership interests in this transaction are not subject to tax because there is no transfer of an economic interest in real property. The vesting of X's assets in Y by operation of law, is also not subject to tax.

#### BASIS AND PURPOSE OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT

This amendment affects the provisions of the Rules Relating to the Real Property Transfer Tax governing conveyances to corporations and partnerships. The current rules address the transfer tax consequences of a statutory merger or consolidation of corporations only. With the enactment of the Revised Limited Partnership Act, effective July 1, 1991, limited partnerships are now expressly permitted to merge or consolidate under New York law. This amendment is intended to reflect this legislation and provide rules for the treatment of mergers and consolidations of limited partnerships.

As in the case of corporations, the transfer of the merged or consolidated limited partnership's real property or economic interests in real property to the continuing or new limited partnership will not be subject to tax if the transfer is pursuant to the Revised Limited Partnership Act or comparable limited partnership laws of another permissible jurisdiction. The jurisdictions enumerated in the amendment are those jurisdictions with whose partnerships the Revised Limited Partnership Act permits a New York limited partnership to merge or consolidate. The corresponding transfer of limited partnership interests may be subject to tax.

The amendment is effective for mergers or consolidations of limited partnerships occurring on or after July 1, 1991.

Kathleen Grimm

Acting Commissioner of Finance