

NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

One Elk Street, Albany, New York 12207 PH 518.463.3200 www.nysba.org

TAX SECTION

2015-2016 Executive Committee

DAVID R. SICULAR

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP 1285 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10019-6064

212/373-3082 STEPHEN B. LAND

First Vice-Chair

212/692-5991 MICHAEL S. FARBER

Second Vice-Chair 212/450-4704

KAREN GILBREATH SOWELL

Secretary 202/327-8747

COMMITTEE CHAIRS:

Bankruptcy and Operating Losses

Stuart J. Goldring David W. Mayo

Compliance, Practice & Procedure

Elliot Pisem Bryan C. Skarlatos

Complexity and Administrability

Edward E. Gonzalez

Joel Scharfstein Consolidated Returns

Andrew H. Braiterman

Kathleen L. Ferrell

Corporations

Fric Solomon

Linda Z. Swartz

Cross-Border Capital Markets David M. Schizer

Andrew Walker

Cross-Border M&A

Yaron Z. Reich

Ansgar A. Simon

Employee Benefits Lawrence K. Cagney

Eric W. Hilfers

Estates and Trusts

Alan S. Halperin Joseph Septimus

Financial Instruments

William L. McRae Andrew P. Solomon

"Inbound" U.S. Activities of Foreign

Taxpayers
Peter J. Connors
Peter F. G. Schuur

Individuals

Steven A. Dean Sherry S. Kraus

Investment Funds

John C. Hart Amanda H. Nussbaum

New York City Taxes

Maria T. Jones Irwin M. Slomka

New York State Taxes

Paul R. Comeau Arthur R. Rosen

"Outbound" Foreign Activities of

U.S. Taxpayers William G. Cavanagh

Philip R. Wagman

Partnerships
Marcy G. Geller
Eric Sloan
Pass-Through Entities

James R. Brown

Charles Morgan Real Property

Robert Cassanos

Phillip J. Gall Reorganizations

Neil J. Barr

Joshua M. Holmes

Securitizations and Structured

John T. Lutz W. Kirk Wallace

Spin Offs

Lawrence M. Garrett

Deborah L. Paul

Tax Exempt Entities Stuart I Rosow

Richard R. Upton

Treaties and Intergovernmental Agreements

Lee F Allison

David R. Hardy

MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

William D. Alexander Jason R. Factor Robert C. Fleder

Peter A. Furci

Amy Heller Charles I. Kingson Jack Trachtenberg Gordon F. Warnke

Matthew A. Rosen Stephen E. Shay

December 1, 2015

The Honorable Mark Mazur Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) Department of the Treasury 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20220

The Honorable John Koskinen Commissioner Internal Revenue Service 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20224

The Honorable William J. Wilkins Chief Counsel Internal Revenue Service 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20224

Report No. 1333 on the Allocation of Earnings Re: and Profits in Connection with Divisive Transactions

Dear Messrs. Mazur, Koskinen and Wilkins:

I am pleased to submit the attached report of the Tax Section of the New York State Bar Association. The report makes proposals regarding the allocation of earnings and profits ("E&P") in connection with divisive transactions described in section 355 of the Internal Revenue Code. Because a section 355 distribution of a controlled corporation ("Controlled") by a distributing corporation ("Distributing") results in the division of a single corporation into two corporations, it is necessary to have rules to determine the post-transaction E&P of Distributing and Controlled after the division in order to preserve the relationship of the shareholders to the E&P.

FORMER CHAIRS OF SECTION:

Herbert L. Camp Carolyn Joy Lee Richard L. Reinhold William L. Burke Arthur A. Feder Steven C. Todrys James M. Peaslee Harold R. Handler John A. Corry Robert H. Scarborough Peter C. Canellos Robert A. Jacobs Michael L. Schler Samuel J. Dimon

Peter L. Faber

David Sachs

J. Roger Mentz

Willard B. Taylor

Richard J. Hiegel

Alfred D. Youngwood

Gordon D. Henderson

Andrew N. Berg Lewis R. Steinberg David P. Hariton Kimberly S. Blanchard Patrick C. Gallagher David S. Miller Erika W. Nijenhuis

Peter H. Blessing Jodi J. Schwartz Andrew W. Needham Diana L. Wollman David H. Schnabel

The Honorable Mark Mazur The Honorable John Koskinen The Honorable William J. Wilkins December 1, 2015

The allocation of E&P in connection with a spin-off is currently governed by Treas. Reg. §1.312-10. This Regulation was issued in 1955, when individuals were taxed at significantly higher rates on dividend income than capital gains, multinational corporations with complex structures and attribute profiles were uncommon, and the tax law generally was less complex. The Regulation is ambiguous in certain respects, resulting in uncertainty, and in certain contexts does not reach appropriate results.

Today, the location and category of E&P following cross-border section 355 transactions is critical for determining the tax consequences of future distributions by foreign corporations. In this context, clear rules are essential in order to minimize inappropriate repatriation opportunities and provide taxpayers with certainty. In the domestic context, the amount of E&P allocated is critical when the dividing company does not have E&P in excess of contemplated shareholder distributions by Distributing and Controlled, or where Controlled must manage its E&P for qualification as a real estate investment trust.

The report recommends relatively modest changes to the Regulation to: (i) provide certainty to taxpayers and the government, (ii) minimize inappropriate tax planning, (iii) minimize differences between a spin-off of a newly-formed Controlled and a pre-existing Controlled, and (iv) provide guidance for a divisive section 368(a)(1)(D) reorganization ("divisive D reorganization") where Controlled is pre-existing. Our recommendations provide consistent results regardless of whether there is a divisive D reorganization and regardless of whether Controlled is pre-existing or newly-formed.

Specifically, in the context of a spin-off of a newly-formed Controlled corporation:

- 1. Retain the fair market value method for allocating Distributing's E&P to Controlled.
- 2. In order to address the inadequacy of the fair market value method to maintain the shareholders' relationship to potential E&P created by the recognition of gains following the spin-off, consider adjusting the basis of Distributing's and Controlled's assets *solely for purposes of computing their E&P*.
- 3. Eliminate the ambiguity created by the provision that the net basis method (or any other method) may be used in a "proper" case.

In the context of a spin-off of a pre-existing Controlled:

- 4. Retain the Regulation's rule that Distributing's E&P is reduced by the amount by which Distributing's E&P would have been reduced had it transferred the stock of Controlled to a newly-formed Controlled.
- 5. Eliminate the net worth limitation in the Regulation.

The Honorable Mark Mazur The Honorable John Koskinen The Honorable William J. Wilkins December 1, 2015

6. Eliminate the possibility of disappearing E&P when Distributing reduces its E&P by a larger number than Controlled increases its E&P (because pre-existing Controlled has its own E&P). While there are alternatives for accomplishing this goal, we propose increasing Controlled's E&P by the entire amount of the reduction in Distributing's E&P.

In the context of a divisive D reorganization with a pre-existing Controlled:

7. Treat the assets transferred in the divisive D reorganization as historic assets of the pre-existing Controlled and apply the allocation rule for a spin-off of a pre-existing Controlled.

In addition, the Report addresses certain related common issues raised in the context of determining the amount of E&P to be allocated in a spin-off for which clear guidance is needed:

- 8. Except for extraordinary items, pro rate Distributing's E&P for the year on a daily basis to the day immediately prior to the spin-off.
- 9. Allocate extraordinary items on a closing-of-the-books basis.
- 10. Reduce Distributing's current E&P available for allocation by all section 301 distributions, regardless of the timing of such distributions.
- 11. If Controlled is less than wholly-owned, allocate E&P on the basis of the value of Controlled owned by Distributing.

We appreciate your consideration of our recommendations. If you have any questions or comments regarding this report, please feel free to contact us and we will be glad to discuss or assist in any way.

Respectfully submitted,

David R. Sicular Chair

CCs: Erik H. Corwin

Deputy Chief Counsel (Technical)

Internal Revenue Service

The Honorable Mark Mazur The Honorable John Koskinen The Honorable William J. Wilkins December 1, 2015

> Emily S. McMahon Deputy Assistant Secretary (Office of Tax Policy) Department of the Treasury

Krishna P. Vallabhaneni Deputy Tax Legislative Counsel Department of the Treasury

Robert H. Wellen Associate Chief Counsel (Corporate) Internal Revenue Service

Thomas C. West, Jr.
Tax Legislative Counsel
Department of the Treasury