

Trial Lawyers Section Digest



A publication of the Trial Lawyers Section
of the New York State Bar Association

Inside

[Message from the Incoming Chair](#) 2
(Noreen DeWire Grimmick)

[2017 New York Regional Round of the
National Trial Competition](#) 3
(Thomas P. Valet)

[Arbitration Dos and Don'ts for
the Trial Lawyer](#) 5
(Richard L. Mattiaccio)

[Trial Section Events Photos](#) 11

[Section Committees and Chairpersons](#) 20

[The Trial Lawyers Section Welcomes
New Members](#) 21



Message from the Incoming Chair

As the members of our Section know, facts and evidence are the lifeblood of every trial attorney's work. The analysis of facts and evidence is our "daily grind." Sometimes the facts are difficult to deal with and not helpful to our client's case. Regardless of whether facts and evidence are favorable or unfavorable for our client's case, all trial attorneys toil over the evidence and the standards of admissibility to best advise our clients on trial or settlement strategy. Really, I cannot think of a better time—or a more challenging time—to be a trial attorney. Having the benefit of analyzing facts and the admissibility of evidence in our daily professional lives—we are lately confronted with "alternate facts," "fake news,"—and overall the impact of social media on our profession, the courts, and our clients, I believe that the recent public discourse on these topics has highlighted the role of the legal profession and our system of jurisprudence in American life. Participation in our professional organizations becomes even more important against this backdrop.

This year our Section will be sponsoring and co-sponsoring membership events throughout the state. In a profession such as ours, it is always important to break out of your bubble! It's so easy to go through a daily routine in your own office without understanding the value of meeting other members of the profession in person. In my experience, outside of the friends I made in law school, the longest and most enduring connections I have made in this profession came about from my participation in Bar Association committees and events. You never know when you might need a friend to give you a fresh outlook on an issue, or to help you explore a different opportunity in legal practice. I hope that new members of our profession will actively engage in our Section by coming to meetings in person whenever possible, and volunteering for work on a committee that interests them. I invite anyone who would like to explore opportunities for active participation in our Section to contact me if you would like more information about a committee, an event, or our Section in general.

With an objective of enhancing the advocacy skills of law students in our state, this past February, our Committee Chair Tom Valet once again managed the New York Regional Round of the National Trial

Competition at the U.S. District Courthouse for the Southern District on Pearl Street in New York City. Out of the 15 law schools in our state, 11 competed in this program, which was hosted this year by Fordham Law School. The two winning teams were St. John's University Law School and Brooklyn Law School. We extend our congratulations to all participants, to our winning law schools, and to the Overall Best Advocate,



Noreen DeWire Grimmick

Christopher Clark from Syracuse University School of Law. This program is a cornerstone of our Section's commitment to enhancing the advocacy skills of law students in our state. Thank you especially to Tom Valet and Violet Samuels for your ongoing efforts and commitment of time making for another successful competition. We ask all Section members to consider volunteering their time to support the 2018 competition next year.

Save the dates!! This year our Summer Meeting will be held in beautiful Hotel Hershey in Hershey Pennsylvania from August 6, 2017 through August 9, 2017. As many of our Section members know, beautiful golf courses, wonderful family recreation, and great continuing CLE courses will be offered during our summer meeting. Hershey, Pennsylvania is a reasonable driving distance for a good number of our Section members, so we expect a good turnout for this program. More details about the program will be available on our website shortly.

It was wonderful meeting so many members of the Trial Lawyers Section at our January meeting in New York City this year. We welcomed several new members to our Executive Committee and we look forward to their active participation in the leadership of our Section in the coming years.

NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Looking for past issues?

Trial Lawyers Digest



www.nysba.org/TrialLawyersDigest



2017 New York Regional Round of the National Trial Competition

By Thomas P. Valet

The 2017 New York Regional Round of the National Trial Competition was held on the weekend of February 10-12. This is the 42nd consecutive year that the Trial Lawyers Section of the New York State Bar Association has served as the host for this Mock Trial Competition for law students.

This year, 11 law schools from New York State entered the competition, sending 20 teams made up of three law students each. The schools that competed this year were Brooklyn Law School, Buffalo Law, Cardozo Law School, Cornell Law, Fordham Law, Hofstra Law, NYU Law, New York Law School, Pace Law School, St. John's Law and Syracuse Law.

Fordham Law School served as the host school. Major thanks go to Adam Shlahet, Director of Student Advocacy at Fordham Law, and Prof. James Kieran, both of whom devoted a considerable amount of time to helping organize and run the competition.

The competition this year was held at the United States District Court, Southern District Courthouse at 500 Pearl Street. This was a wonderful venue for the students to compete in and we wish to thank the Chief Judge of the Southern District, the Hon. Colleen McMahon, for allowing us to hold the competition at the Southern District Courthouse.

In this mock trial competition, the law students are required to try an entire case from start to finish, including opening statements, direct and cross-examination of witnesses, closing statements and motions at various points of the trial. A presiding judge oversees the trial and lawyer/evaluators score the students based on their performance in presenting their cases.

After three rounds of competition, eight teams advanced to the Semi-Finals held on Sunday morning:

continued on page 4

Have an IMPACT!

As the charitable arm of the New York State Bar Association, The Foundation seeks donations for its grant program which assists non-profit organizations across New York in providing legal services to those in need.

Why give to The Foundation

- We operate lean, fulfill our mission, provide good stewardship of your gift and contribute to a positive impact on legal service access across New York.

When you give to The Foundation your gift has a ripple effect

- Your donation is added to other gifts making a larger financial impact to those we collectively assist.

Make a difference-give today! www.tnybf.org/donation/

Double your gift...

Some companies have a matching gift program that will match your donation. See if your firm participates!



"I am a Fellow of The Foundation in order to join with lawyers and judges from across the State who have been honored by their election to be Fellows and have committed



to support the important work of The Foundation in helping to provide access to justice, improve the legal system, and promote the rule of law by supporting the educational programs of the New York State Bar Association."

David M. Schraver

Nixon Peabody LLP, Rochester, NY



Arbitration Dos and Don'ts for the Trial Lawyer

By Richard L. Mattiaccio

A client has just asked you to represent it in the arbitration of a contract dispute. The case looks pretty much like others you have taken to bench or jury trial victories. You think you are all set.

Think again. You would not try a jury trial as if it were a bench trial, or vice versa. Why assume that you should try a case in arbitration as if it were in court?

Arbitration rules, handbooks and training programs can provide valuable insight into the steps leading to the evidentiary hearing. The literature and training programs will take the practitioner in detail through the filing of claims; the initial administrative conference in administered cases; the arbitrator selection process; the first conference with the arbitrator(s) leading to the crucial first procedural order; the pre-hearing exchange of documents; limitations on discovery, motions, subpoenas on nonparties, and evidentiary objections; the filing of witness lists, pre-marked exhibits, witness statements, expert reports, and pre-hearing memos; and post-hearing confirmation or vacatur of awards. Relatively little can be found in the literature, however, about the evidentiary hearing itself.

In the real world, much depends on the arbitrator's background, so the common wisdom is that cases are frequently won or lost at the arbitrator selection phase. A second commonplace that should resonate with every trial lawyer is the need to learn as much as possible about the arbitrator and adapt attorney style to what works with an arbitrator assigned to the case. For example, some arbitrators like the hearing to feel like a bench trial. Others like every step in the process to function more like a business meeting. An attorney representing a party needs to know this in advance or take cues from the arbitrator during the preliminary conference.

Unlike jury selection, which often follows motions and discovery practice, an attorney in arbitration needs to determine at the beginning of the case what sort of arbitrator would be receptive to the case on the merits and to his or her style. Arbitrator selection is a subject worthy of dedicated study.¹ The mechanics of arbitrator selection can vary depending on the nature of the case, the governing rules, and the terms of the arbitration clause. Still, some characteristics do appear across the commercial arbitrator spectrum.

Commercial arbitrators generally like to think of themselves as problem solvers and look to counsel to provide the tools arbitrators need to solve those problems. Arbitrators like to see the attorneys (a) focusing on the merits, (b) finding common ground on preliminary matters, and (c) using the time allotted efficiently and cost-effectively. They do not appreciate extensive attorney wrangling over procedure either before or at the hearing.

Arbitrators pride themselves on getting the point the first time it is made. They do not appreciate duplicative argument, briefing or testimony. They rarely see the point of having multiple witnesses testify to the same facts. They appreciate effective cross-examination, but they expect the cross-examiner to remain courteous and stay within pre-agreed time limits.

A good deal of planning, preparation and compromise with opposing counsel goes into effectively representing a client at a low-key, business-like, problem-solving evidentiary hearing. The following "dos and don'ts" are some practical tips offered to help a lawyer get started thinking about how to work with, not against, arbitration custom and practice in order to achieve good results for clients.

Follow NYSBA on Twitter



Stay up to date on the latest news
from the Association

www.twitter.com/nysba

| Dos | Don'ts |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A. Study the Rules and Guidelines | |
| <p>Read the arbitration rules and guidelines from cover to cover.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Think about how they differ from what you are used to in court; • Assume the arbitrator(s) will enforce the rules and follow the guidelines; and • Review the literature on commercial arbitration, especially when it is addressed to counsel's obligations.² | <p>Don't read only the published rules applicable to the case. Also review the most relevant guidelines and protocols that tend to shape the conduct of the arbitrator(s) in specific categories or phases of arbitration.³</p> |
| B. Advise the Client About Arbitration | |
| <p>Provide your early case assessment with the arbitration process in mind.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Positions can be stronger or weaker in arbitration; figure it out <i>before</i> you advise the client. • Provide the client with realistic projections of arbitration cost and time in your early case assessment, and update your assessments.⁴ | <p>Don't overlook the strategic and tactical advantages or potential disadvantages of arbitration when you provide the client with your case assessment.</p> <p>Don't assume your client knows what to expect in arbitration; determine its experience level and adjust your advice accordingly.</p> |
| <p>Introduce the concept of mediation as a related step in the arbitration process.⁵</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make clear that arbitrators are generally not expected or supposed to get involved in settlement discussions but appreciate it when the parties give it a try. • Point to the provider organization's policies or procedures that favor mediation and that may treat mediation as a normal step within the arbitration process.⁶ | <p>Don't be deterred by a client's concern that suggesting mediation may send a signal of weakness. Explain that, if the arbitrator(s) find out that your side wants to pursue mediation or some other settlement device, the only risk is that your side will come across as sane.</p> |
| <p>Send the client a few articles if it is skeptical.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providers and bar groups offer guides for the lawyer and non-lawyer alike;⁷ read them, send the best-suited one to your client, and have a discussion with the client about the pros and cons of the process. | <p>Don't unnecessarily place stress on your credibility with a client that is highly resistant to the advice. You can point to provider institution user handbooks and to neutrals on record providing the same advice.</p> |
| C. Map Case Strategy Before the First Conference with the Arbitrator(s) | |
| <p>Have an early game plan, ideally, before arbitrator selection.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Before the first conference with the arbitrator(s), know what you need in terms of exchanges of documents and other information. The first procedural order is your road map for the case. | <p>Don't improvise. Your game plan may have to be adjusted, but, without one, you will not make good use of those crucial early encounters with the arbitrator(s), and the first procedural order will feel like a straightjacket as the case evolves in unexpected ways.</p> |

| Dos | Don'ts |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| D. Assume Very Little Discovery | |
| <p>Develop your core case and defenses on the assumption of little or no discovery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Search your client's records to dig out all the essential documents. • Line up, interview and lock in the availability of all of your key witnesses. • Use the Internet. • Consider a private investigator, if needed, to fill in the blanks. • Look to some limited discovery for the gravy and, whenever possible, not for the meat and potatoes. <p>Prepare your basic discovery plan before arbitrator selection.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If discovery is essential to your case, select arbitrator(s) with an active case load in court, or with experience as counsel in litigation, or as a judge in a court that allows broad discovery. | <p>Don't think that you can build a case out of the other side's files or deposition testimony of its witnesses. Broad discovery is rarely allowed in domestic arbitration, and is just not available in international commercial cases. Arbitrators are trained to limit discovery, related expense, and the time needed to get to an award.⁸</p> <p>Don't assume that all arbitrators appreciate the challenges you face as counsel. Look for clues in the arbitrator candidate's professional experience. Has the arbitrator ever tried a case in court or in arbitration? How important will arbitrator empathy for the trial lawyer be as you prepare and present your case?</p> |
| E. Gear Up for Arbitrator Selection | |
| <p>Network to find the right arbitrator(s).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask experienced arbitration counsel and other neutrals about potential arbitrators. • Select arbitrator(s), especially the chair or sole arbitrator, with a proven ability to manage the process.⁹ | <p>Don't rely entirely on an official arbitrator biography if you can reach out to lawyers who have had experience with that arbitrator. There are online resources to help in some circumstances.¹⁰</p> |
| <p>Know the rules governing arbitrator selection in your case before starting the selection process.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The process of arbitrator selection can vary depending on the arbitration clause and the governing rules and procedures. • To some degree, an arbitration clause can vary the procedures that are generally incorporated by reference. | <p>Don't expect busy case managers to focus right away on any special provisions on arbitrator selection or qualifications in your arbitration clause; point out those provisions before the case manager gets too far along in the arbitrator selection process.</p> |
| F. Ask for an Early Administrative Conference and Work Collaboratively with the Case Manager at All Times | |
| <p>Ask for an administrative meeting with the case manager prior to arbitrator selection.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make clear what your preferred criteria are for arbitrator selection. • Engage in <i>ex parte</i> communications with the case manager to the extent allowed by the rules. • Propose an administrative conference with all counsel present. | <p>Don't miss any opportunity to show the case manager that you are trying your best to be practical and that you are a straight shooter. Counsel cannot have <i>ex parte</i> communications with arbitrators, but case managers can and do talk with the arbitrator(s), and vice versa, whenever they think it serves a purpose.</p> |

| Dos | Don'ts |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Treat case managers like the important people they are.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be practical and helpful; it's a joint problem-solving exercise. • Case managers can help counsel avoid costly missteps. | <p>Don't condescend. Case managers may or may not have law practice experience or law degrees, but they are hard-working professionals and they understand some aspects of the arbitral process better than counsel ever will.</p> |
| <i>G. Address Confidentiality Up Front</i> | |
| <p>Assess the confidentiality of the process before exchanging sensitive information.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not all arbitration rules provide the same level of confidentiality.¹¹ • Determine whether express confidentiality protections should be negotiated with the other side or, failing agreement, whether a procedural order regarding confidentiality should be sought from the arbitrator(s).¹² • Ask the arbitrator(s) to embody any agreement in a procedural order. | <p>Don't assume that the parties are bound to confidentiality without checking the rules.</p> <p>Don't rely on customary practice in litigation.</p> <p>Don't rely on informal agreements, especially if the confidentiality stakes are high.</p> |
| <i>H. Confer With Opposing Counsel to Work Out as Much as Possible</i> | |
| <p>Reach out to counsel for the other side to try to agree on the basics, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Selection criteria for panel-selected arbitrator(s); • The extent and timing of the exchange of documents and other information; and • When, during the arbitration, mediation is most likely to be fruitful. <p>Develop an agenda for the administrative conference.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Try to develop, collaboratively with opposing counsel, a list of at least some points to be addressed at the first conference. | <p>Don't just spot an issue, pick a fight, and run to the arbitrator(s) to resolve it. The case manager or arbitrator(s) may conclude that there are no other adults in the room besides themselves. That will not help you when you need to ask for some leeway on any number of issues.</p> <p>Don't expect case managers to be mind-readers. If you need something out-of-the-ordinary to be addressed, make sure it makes it onto the agenda.</p> |
| <i>I. File an Early Witness List</i> | |
| <p>File as comprehensive a list of witnesses as possible, as early in the case as possible.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include in the witness list the current affiliations of witnesses. | <p>Don't hold back on identifying your witnesses in the hopes of springing a surprise witness at the hearing. Generally, surprise is not allowed or is mitigated by allowing opposing counsel time to regroup. If you do hold back, you run the risk of arbitrator disclosures later in the case, resulting in (a) a disruptive replacement of an arbitrator mid-stream, or (b) continued service of an arbitrator who might not have been selected in the first place if the disclosure had been made earlier.</p> |

| Dos | Don'ts |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>J. Propose Rather Than Impose</i> | |
| <p>Present joint proposals as just that: proposals for consideration by the arbitrator(s).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arbitration is a creature of contract, but joint proposals that go too far in transforming arbitration into litigation can undermine the nature and integrity of the arbitral process. | <p>Don't send the arbitrators edicts. Arbitrator(s) need to be persuaded that whatever you jointly propose is a reasonable approach because they are trained by the provider organizations to achieve efficiency and to maintain the distinctiveness of the arbitration process.</p> |
| <p>Prepare to present to the arbitrator(s) some of your own reasonable proposals to resolve open issues.</p> | <p>Don't just expect the arbitrator(s) to figure it out; you may not like how it goes, especially if opposing counsel offers solutions.</p> |
| <i>K. Remember the Golden Rule</i> | |
| <p>Be courteous and cooperative in dealing with arbitrators, case managers, opposing counsel and staff, and witnesses.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> And, if you want to convey the impression to the arbitrator(s) that you think you have a good case, show good humor at all times. | <p>Don't grandstand for clients or, if things seem to be going badly, shift into high (make-the-record-for-appeal) gear. There is no effective right of appeal.</p> <p>Don't go on the offensive, unless it is a charm offensive.</p> |
| <i>L. Be the Problem-Solver in the Room</i> | |
| <p>Anticipate practical needs and likely disputes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Try to resolve disputes with opposing counsel. Try to present unresolved disputes at scheduled conferences. | <p>Don't pepper the arbitrator(s) with many disjointed requests that could have been presented at one time.</p> |
| <p>Keep your presentation interesting but low-key.</p> | <p>Don't waste time on theatrics. There is no jury to wake up or to impress. Would you bring a megaphone to a poker game?</p> |
| <i>M. Limit Discovery Requests to What Is Absolutely Essential</i> | |
| <p>Whatever discovery you might ask for in court, cut it back.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> You may get more from opposing counsel than from the arbitrator(s). Consider the legal limits on arbitrator power to compel discovery from non-parties.¹³ | <p>Don't assume Federal Rules-style discovery is inscribed in the Bill of Rights. Even if broad discovery is written into your arbitration clause, arbitrators have discretion to streamline the process, and they feel pressure from provider associations to do just that.</p> |
| <p>Consider tools to cut discovery time and costs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Computer-assisted electronic document review a/k/a "predictive coding" is one example. Arbitrators appreciate a creative and practical approach. | <p>Don't underestimate arbitrator receptiveness to creative solutions or the ability of technology to solve or mitigate problems created by technology.</p> |

| Dos | Don'ts |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>If the arbitration is international (i.e., between commercial parties of different nations):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do not expect to be able to take <i>any</i> discovery depositions at all.¹⁴ • Exchange of written fact witness statements in lieu of live direct is the norm.¹⁵ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Fact witness statements are often the only way to avoid surprise under international procedures. • Document exchange is limited.¹⁶ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Prepare extremely specific requests for documents you don't already have but really need. | <p>Don't try to look for the needle in the other side's haystack.</p> <p>The world detests American-style discovery. International arbitration practice and procedure reflect that consensus. If the case is governed by international arbitration rules, the fact that arbitration is taking place in the U.S. does not make discovery any more available.</p> |
| <p>If the case is domestic in nature:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expect to be told you can take, at most, a very limited number of depositions of limited duration.¹⁷ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> –The smaller the case, the fewer and shorter the depositions, so figure out what you <i>really</i> need, and go for that. | <p>Don't assume that proportionality is a term first coined in response to discovery excesses in Federal Rules practice. Providers have been training commercial arbitrators for years to limit discovery to what is needed <i>and</i> proportional.</p> |
| <p>Expect push-back in response to a litigation-like discovery plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Even if you work out a joint proposal and present it on a silver platter. • Advise your client realistically and up front about the limits of discovery in arbitration. <p>There are guidelines and articles explaining the limits of discovery in arbitration. Send one or two to your client if it does not believe you when you explain the limitations on discovery in arbitration.</p> | <p>Don't panic if you are used to broad discovery before trial. In arbitration, the hearing-by-installment approach usually affords ample opportunity to regroup. Arbitrators have the flexibility to remedy genuine surprise and are sensitive to the need for procedural fairness.</p> |
| N. Present Disputes Informally | |
| <p>Provide the arbitrator(s) with a brief, written, jointly submitted or at least even-handed preview of the dispute.</p> | <p>Don't expect the arbitrator(s) to rule on complex and important discovery disputes at a conference without having had time to think about it and confer with one another.</p> |
| O. Propose Dispositive Motions When They Meet Arbitration Standards | |
| <p>Propose a dispositive motion only if it is likely to succeed <i>and</i> to streamline the case.¹⁸</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepare a one or two-page letter outlining the grounds, likelihood of success, and likely economies to be achieved from the dispositive motion. | <p>Don't ask to make dispositive motions just to condition arbitrator thinking in your favor. It is not efficient, and busy arbitrators might conclude that you are trying to make extra work for yourself.</p> |

Continued on page 15



Trial Lawyers Section Chair
Charles J. Siegel



Incoming Trial Lawyers Section Chair
Noreen DeWire Grimmick



New York State
Chief Judge Janet DiFiore



NYSBA 2017 Annual Meeting TICL & Trial Dinner and Awards



NYSBA Secretary Sherry Levin Wallach,
Committee on the Tort System Co-Chair Margaret
Comard Lynch, Hon. Michael C. Lynch, Former
NYSBA President Stephen Younger, New York
State Court of Appeals Judge Michael Garcia



The TICL & Trial Dinner



Evan Goldberg, Award Recipient Thomas P. Valet,
Section Chair Charles J. Siegel,
NYSBA President Claire P. Gutekunst



NYSBA President Claire P. Gutekunst,
Award Recipient Peter C. Kopff,
Section Chair Charles J. Siegel



T. Andrew Brown and
A. Michael Furman



NYSBA President Claire P. Gutekunst,
Award Recipient New York State Chief Judge
Janet DiFiore, Section Chair Charles J. Siegel,
Evan Goldberg, Kenneth Krajewski



New York State
Chief Judge Janet DiFiore



Chief Judge Janet DiFiore with New York State
Court of Appeals Associate Judges Sheila Abdus-
Salaam and Eugene M. Fahey

TICL & Trial Fall 2016 Meeting in New Orleans



Attendees enjoying an afternoon on the
Steamboat Natchez



Hon. Eugene Pigott, Mary and Kevin Sullivan,
Hon. Michael Garcia



Thomas P. Valet, Michael Tromello,
Hon. Tiffany Gautier Chase, Elia Diaz-Yaeger,
David Horowitz, Sheryl Story



Mary and Kevin Sullivan, NYSBA President-Elect
Sharon Stern Gerstman, Hon. Thomas Dickerson



Racontours Commanders Palace Tour Group:
Hon. Thomas Dickerson, Thomas and Jo Valet,
Tour Guide "Tree," Barbara and Charles Siegel,
Noreen and Dan Grimmick



NYSBA President Claire P. Gutekunst and President-Elect Sharon Stern Gerstman with Program Speakers and Fellowship Recipients



NYSBA President-Elect Sharon Stern Gerstman, Charles Siegel, Violet Samuels, Hon. Michael Garcia, Hon. Eugene Pigott, Betty Lugo and Kenneth Krajewski



NYSBA President Claire P. Gutekunst with Executive Committee Members



TICL Chair Kenneth Krajewski and Trial Lawyers Section Chair Charles Siegel with Fall Meeting Fellowship Recipients



Section Chairs and Program Chairs with Fall Meeting Program Speakers



NYSBA President Claire P. Gutekunst, Hon. Michael Garcia, Hon. Thomas Dickerson, Hon. Eugene Pigott, Charles Siegel

| Dos | Don'ts |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| P. Use Witness Statements and Exchange Experts' Reports | |
| <p>Consider agreeing to the use of witness statements as part of direct testimony even if not required to do so.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fact witnesses rarely crack on direct examination. • Exchange experts' reports. Parties rarely have an opportunity to take the deposition of opposing experts in arbitration. You may as well take some credit for adopting an approach that otherwise will be imposed. • Incorporate your expert report as an integral part of the expert's sworn testimony. | <p>Don't fight tooth-and-nail against the witness statement procedure just because it is unfamiliar or can sometimes be abused; you can negotiate the ground rules to limit abuse.</p> <p>Don't assume that witness statements and experts' reports prevent the witness from telling her story. Arbitrators can be persuaded to let witnesses give brief overviews of direct testimony and to update or correct statements or reports just before cross-examination at the hearing.</p> |
| <p>Prepare fact witness statements with the witness and in the witness' own voice.</p> | <p>Don't submit a witness statement that reads like a memo of law. It will not be effective and your witness may deserve better.</p> |
| Q. Design Helpful Hearing Submissions | |
| <p>Organize hearing exhibits so that they are arbitrator-friendly.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arbitrators pick up bundles of hearing exhibits and read them. | <p>Don't submit exhibit volumes that resemble shuffled decks of playing cards.</p> |
| <p>Submit a separate volume of joint exhibits that are the key, undisputedly authentic documents in the case.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parties may disagree as to the meaning of undisputedly authentic and relevant documents, but that does not mean the documents are not authentic or are not key to the dispute. | <p>Don't create logistical challenges for the arbitrator(s) by burying the basic documents in larger document groupings. Many arbitrators work without any office support.</p> |
| <p>Provide documents in whatever form(s) the arbitrator(s) request.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arbitrators on the same panel may have very different working styles. • Offer to have a courtesy paper set in the hearing room for each arbitrator. | <p>Don't assume that all arbitrators have the same technological savvy. Some may consider the courtesy set to be essential; others may see it as unnecessary and wasteful. The key is to find out each arbitrator's preference.</p> |
| <p>Keep the record organized and make it easy for the arbitrator(s) to focus on what's important.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider with an open mind an arbitrator's request for authorization to work with a colleague on some aspects of a complex, large-record case. Arbitrators do not have access to law clerks and they cannot ask for help from law firm colleagues unless the parties expressly authorize it. | <p>Don't automatically react negatively if an arbitrator, particularly in a complex, big-document commercial matter, asks for authorization to draw on a colleague for support for specific tasks. Depending on how the arrangement is structured, it could result in time and cost savings, and a better structured or reasoned award.</p> |
| <p>Keep Pre-Hearing Memos Concise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Say things once. | <p>Don't engage in repetition. Repetition tends to annoy arbitrators.</p> <p>Don't engage in repetition.</p> <p>Don't.</p> |

| Dos | Don'ts |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>R. Decide on a Form of Award Well Before the Hearing</i> | |
| <p>Inform the arbitrator(s) before the evidentiary hearing as to what form of award is required.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Depending on the applicable rules, arbitrator(s) may decide on the form of award much sooner in the case, but the eve of the evidentiary hearing should be the absolute minimum notice so the arbitrator(s) can identify the tools they need to receive from the parties. | <p>Don't ask for a reasoned award without agreeing to provide the arbitrator(s) with a hearing transcript or, if no transcript is made, to provide the arbitrator(s) with proposed findings of fact or some less formal version thereof.</p> |
| <i>S. Discuss the House Rules in Advance of the Hearing</i> | |
| <p>Clarify any restrictions on communicating with witnesses during their testimony, or on the witness' attendance during the testimony of other witnesses.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ask the arbitrator(s) to set forth any restrictions in a procedural order. | <p>Don't assume that you, the arbitrator(s) and opposing counsel all have the same practice experience background with respect to the handling of witnesses and other hearing-room conduct.</p> |
| <i>T. Propose Hearing Procedures That Maximize Time for Witness Testimony and That Help Keep the Arbitrator(s) Organized</i> | |
| <p>Keep housekeeping at the hearing to a minimum.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Try to limit discussion of administrative details to the beginning or end of the hearing day. Try to work out problems off the record and then confirm agreements on the record. | <p>Don't burden the transcript with lengthy discussions unrelated to the merits. The transcript (even in paper form) should be user-friendly for the arbitrator(s) in preparing the award.</p> |
| <p>Submit an order of presentation of witnesses in advance of the hearing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update the line-up at the end of each day for the next day. | <p>Don't try to surprise the arbitrators with your next witness. Arbitrators like to prepare for witnesses too.</p> |
| <p>Have your next witness in the batter's box.</p> | <p>Don't waste expensive hearing time waiting for a witness who is stuck in traffic. Some arbitrators, and some clients who hear an arbitrator grouching about it, might hold it against you.</p> |
| <p>Make evidentiary objections briefly, in writing, and focused on significant matters; time the objections so as not to disrupt hearing flow.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limit evidentiary objections during the hearing to important questions of time management, relevance, weight and confidentiality. | <p>Don't use evidentiary objections to break a witness' rhythm or to run the clock. Arbitrators recognize the tactic, and may deduct points from your credibility score and/or help the witness get back on track.</p> <p>Don't fuss over prejudice unless the evidence is irrelevant and borders on the outrageous. Arbitrators think they are too sophisticated to have to worry about becoming prejudiced, but might draw the line at attempts to delve into clearly non-probative personal matters.</p> |

| Dos | Don'ts |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Ask the arbitrator(s) whether closing arguments or post-hearing briefs would be more helpful.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask the arbitrator(s) what points they would most like to be addressed in closing arguments and/or briefs, and adjust accordingly. • This is not just about being courteous. You want to know what might be troubling the arbitrator(s) and you want to deal with it as best you can. • Make use of arbitrator flexibility. For example, in some cases it might make sense to have a closing argument as to some issues plus a short brief on a point or two that are better addressed in writing, with a chart that the arbitrators might find helpful but requires a bit more time to prepare, etc. | <p>Don't just repeat in closing the themes you have been developing all through the case; address what's on the mind(s) of the arbitrator(s) at that point in the hearing. It is your last chance to put the arbitrator(s) at ease with respect to what may be bothering them about your case or defenses.</p> <p>Don't repeat arguments that are not essential to your case and that have not gotten any traction with the arbitrator(s) just because your client likes to hear them. You are not there to entertain or soothe the client but to get the client the best possible result.</p> |
| <p>Provide the arbitrator(s) with hearing transcripts at the same time you receive them.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If you are getting daily copy, offer it. • Ask each arbitrator what form (paper, electronic, software) is preferred, and include any court reporter index. | <p>Don't just do the minimum or the usual; go out of your way to make it as easy as possible for the arbitrator(s), particularly when doing so has no material impact on cost.</p> |
| <p>Supply a joint, definitive, final list of all the documents in evidence.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This is especially important in the larger-document cases or when exhibits have been moved into evidence without testimony. • Arbitrators like to have a reliable checklist to make sure they have reviewed and considered all the evidence in the record. | <p>Don't rely on the court reporter to provide the exhibit list if there are exhibits in evidence that were not used with witnesses or not formally moved in evidence on the record.</p> |
| <p><i>U. Be Courteous to the End</i></p> | |
| <p>Thank the arbitrator(s) and case manager, each by name, for their service and attention.</p> <p>Acknowledge the members of your team at all levels.</p> <p>Thank the opposing attorney(s). If counsel was generally obstructive but cooperated in some small way, thank counsel specifically for that small detail even though (or perhaps because) it might seem like faint praise.</p> <p>Acknowledge the hard-working junior members of the other side's team, including non-lawyers, for their contribution and cooperation, even if you cannot utter a word of thanks to lead counsel.</p> | <p>Don't just say thank you; when you say it, say it like you mean it.</p> |

Endnotes

1. For a practical overview of some key considerations in arbitrator selection, see Charles J. Moxley, Jr., *Selecting the Ideal Arbitrator*, 2005 DISP. RESOL. J. 1, available at https://www.adr.org/aaa/ShowPDF?doc=ADRSTG_003897 (last visited Sept. 9, 2014).
2. See STIPANOWICH, ET AL., PROTOCOLS FOR EXPEDITIOUS, COST-EFFECTIVE COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION 61-67 (2010), available at http://www.thecca.net/sites/default/files/CCA_Protocols.pdf (last visited Sept. 9, 2014) [hereinafter CCA Protocols].
3. See generally, NEWMAN, ET AL., GUIDELINES FOR ARBITRATORS CONDUCTING COMPLEX ARBITRATIONS (2012), available at <http://www.c-pradr.org/Portals/0/Resources/ADR%20Tools/Tools/Arbitration%20Award%20Slimjim%20for%20download.pdf> (last visited Sept. 9, 2014); NEWMAN, ET AL., GUIDELINES ON EARLY DISPOSITION OF ISSUES IN ARBITRATION (2009), available at <http://www.cpradr.org/RulesCaseServices/CPRRules/GuidelinesonEarlyDispositionofIssuesinArbitration.aspx> (last visited Sept. 9, 2014) [hereinafter CPR Early Disposition Guidelines]; NEWMAN, ET AL., PROTOCOL ON DISCLOSURE OF DOCUMENTS AND PRESENTATION OF WITNESSES IN COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION (2009), available at <http://www.cpradr.org/RulesCaseServices/CPRRules/ProtocolonDisclosureofDocumentsPresentationofWitnessesinCommercialArbitration.aspx> (last visited Sept. 9, 2014); N.Y. State Bar Ass'n, Section on Disp. Resol., *Guidelines for the Arbitrator's Conduct of the Pre-Hearing Phase of Domestic Commercial Arbitrations* [hereinafter NYSBA Domestic Guidelines] and N.Y. State Bar Ass'n, Section on Disp. Resol., *Guidelines for the Arbitrator's Conduct of the Pre-Hearing Phase of International Arbitration* [hereinafter NYSBA International Guidelines], both available at http://old.nysba.org/Content/NavigationMenu/Publications/GuidelinesforArbitration/DR_guidelines_booklet_proof_10-24-11.pdf (last visited Sept. 9, 2014); *Protocol for E-Disclosure in Arbitration*, CHARTERED INST. OF ARB., Oct. 2008, available at <http://www.ciarb.org/information-and-resources/E-Discolusure%20in%20Arbitration.pdf> (last visited Sept. 9, 2014) [hereinafter CI Arb E-Disclosure Protocol]; *Efficiency Guidelines for the Pre-Hearing Phase of International Arbitrations*, JAMS, Feb. 1, 2011, available at <http://www.jamsinternational.com/wp-content/uploads/JAMS-International-Efficiency-Guidelines.pdf> (last visited Sept. 9, 2014) [hereinafter JAMS Efficiency Guidelines]; Int'l Bar Ass'n, *IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration* (2010), available at file:///C:/Users/tmb/Downloads/IBA%20Rules%20on%20the%20Taking%20of%20Evidence%20in%20Int%20Arbitration%20201011%20FULL.pdf (last visited Sept. 9, 2014); THE CODE OF ETHICS FOR ARB. IN COM. DISP., available at http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/migrated/dispute/commercial_disputes.authcheckdam.pdf (last visited Sept. 9, 2014).
4. See CCA Protocols, *supra* note 2, at 61-63.
5. The AAA Commercial Arbitration Rules now provide for mediation in the course of arbitration unless the parties opt out. See Am. Arb. Ass'n, *Commercial Arb. Rules and Mediation Procedures* R-9, at 14 (2013), available at https://www.adr.org/aaa/ShowProperty?nodeId=/UCM/ADRSTG_004103&revision=latest (last visited Sept. 9, 2014) [hereinafter AAA Commercial Arb. Rules].
6. *Id.*; see also Luis M. Martinez and Thomas Ventrone, *The International Centre for Dispute Resolution Mediation Practice*, 494-95, available at https://www.adr.org/aaa/ShowPDF?doc=ADRSTG_002567 (last visited Sept. 9, 2014); Int'l Chamber of Com., *Mediation Guidance Notes*, ¶¶ 28-35, available at <http://www.iccwbo.org/Products-and-Services/Arbitration-and-ADR/Mediation/Rules/Mediation-Guidance-Notes> (last visited Sept. 9, 2014).
7. See, e.g., Am. Arb. Ass'n, *A Guide to Commercial Mediation and Arbitration for Business People* (2013), available at <https://www.adr.org/aaa/ShowPDF?doc=ADRSTAGE2019455> (last visited Sept. 9, 2014); Am. Bar Ass'n, *Benefits of Arbitration for Commercial Disputes*, available at http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/events/dispute_resolution/committees/arbitration/arbitrationguide.authcheckdam.pdf (last visited Sept. 9, 2014); Int'l Chamber of Com., *Introduction to ICC Arbitration*, available at <http://www.iccwbo.org/products-and-services/arbitration-and-adr/arbitration/> (last visited Sept. 9, 2014).
8. See, e.g., JAMES M. GAITIS, ET AL., THE COLLEGE OF COMMERCIAL ARBITRATORS GUIDE TO BEST PRACTICES 137-76 (3D ED. 2013) [hereinafter CCA Best Practices Guide]; CCA PROTOCOLS, *supra* note 2, at 72-73; CI Arb E-Disclosure Protocol, *supra* note 3, at 6; INT'L DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURES, INT'L CENTRE FOR DISPUTE RESOL., art. 21.1, June, 2014, available at <https://www.icdr.org/icdr/ShowProperty?nodeId=/UCM/ADRSTAGE2020868&revision=latest> (last visited Sept. 9, 2014) [hereinafter IC DR Dispute Resol. Procedures] ("The arbitral tribunal shall manage the exchange of information among the parties with a view to maintaining efficiency and economy."); Mitchell Marinello & Robert Matlin, *Muscular Arb. and Arbitrators Self-Mgmt. Can Make Arb. Faster and More Econ.*, 67 DISP. RESOL. J. 69, 73-75, available at <http://www.novackmacey.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/06/Muscular-Arbitration-and-Arbitrators-Self-Management-Can-Make-Arbitration-Faster-and-More-Economical-Dispute-Resolution-Journal-Vol.-67-No.-4-2013-PDF.pdf> (last visited Sept. 9, 2014).
9. See CCA Protocols, *supra* note 2, at 32-34.
10. See, e.g., *Due Diligence Eval. Tool for Selecting Arbitrators and Mediators*, INT'L INST. FOR CONFLICT PREVENTION & RESOL. (2010), available at <http://www.cpradr.org/Portals/0/File%20a%20Case/Engagement%20Guidelines%20final.pdf> (last visited Sept. 9, 2014); Energy Arbitrator's List, Int'l Centre for Disp. Resol., available at http://www.energyarbitratorslist.com/ealsearch/faces/eal?_adf.ctrl-state=1bae1uglv_4 (last visited Sept. 9, 2014).
11. Compare AAA Commercial Arb. Rules, *supra* note 5, M-10 (for mediation only), and IC DR Dispute Resol. Procedures, *supra* note 8, art. 37, and *Comprehensive Arb. Rules & Procedures*, JAMS, R-26, Oct. 1, 2010, available at http://www.jamsadr.com/files/Uploads/Documents/JAMS-Rules/JAMS_comprehensive_arbitration_rules-2010.pdf (last visited Sept. 9, 2014) [hereinafter JAMS Comprehensive Arb. Rules], with Rules, Int'l Inst. For Conflict Prevention & Resol., Inc., R-20, July 1, 2013, available at <http://www.cpradr.org/RulesCaseServices/Arbitration/AdministeredArbitration/Rules.aspx> (last visited Sept. 9, 2014) [hereinafter CPR Rules], and ICC Rules of Arb., Int'l Chamber of Com., art. 22, Jan. 1, 2012, available at http://www.iccwbo.org/products-and-services/arbitration-and-adr/arbitration/icc-rules-of-arbitration/#article_b1 (last visited Sept. 9, 2014) [hereinafter ICC Rules of Arb.]; see also CCA Best Practices Guide, *supra* note 8, at 439-40, Table 17.3.
12. See AAA Commercial Arb. Rules, *supra* note 5, art. R-23; CPR Rules, *supra* note 11, art. R-11; JAMS Comprehensive Arb. Rules, *supra* note 11, art. R-26(b).
13. If the arbitration is governed by the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA), the courts are split as to whether FAA Section 7 authorizes the arbitrators to issue subpoenas for discovery document production or deposition testimony, or whether Section 7 only extends to subpoenas for attendance at the evidentiary hearing. See generally, CCA Best Practices Guide, *supra* note 8, at 149-52. If the arbitration is governed by state arbitration law, the power of the arbitrator(s) to issue subpoenas to nonparties may vary from state to state.
14. IC DR Dispute Resol. Procedures, *supra* note 8, art. 21.10 ("10. Depositions...generally are not appropriate procedures for obtaining information in an arbitration under these Rules."); JAMS Efficiency Guidelines, *supra* note 3, at 3 ("In JAMS international arbitrations, the prevailing practice is that depositions are not

permitted.”). Compare NYSBA Domestic Guidelines, *supra* note 3, at 13-14, with NYSBA International Guidelines, *supra* note 3, at 28.

15. See, e.g., ICDR Dispute Resol. Procedures, *supra* note 8, art. 21.10 (“Unless otherwise agreed by the parties or directed by the tribunal, evidence of witnesses may be presented in the form of written statements signed by them.”); JAMS Efficiency Guidelines, *supra* note 3, at 3 (“In international arbitrations, the use of written witness statements in lieu of direct testimony...is a common, broadly accepted practice.”).
16. See NYSBA International Guidelines, *supra* note 3, at 27-28.
17. See NYSBA Domestic Guidelines, *supra* note 3, at 14.
18. See AAA Commercial Arb. Rules, *supra* note 5, R-33 (“The arbitrator may allow the filing of and make rulings upon a dispositive motion only if the arbitrator determines that the moving party has shown that the motion is likely to succeed and dispose of or narrow the issues in the case.”); CPR Early Disposition Guidelines, *supra* note 3, Guideline 2.4 (“It is important to bear in mind that even if early disposition of an issue may be accomplished quickly and fairly, it nevertheless may not be appropriate if it is not likely, if granted, to result in a material reduction of the total time and cost in reaching final resolution of the case.”).

Richard L. Mattiaccio is a partner in the New York office of Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP. He has over 30 years of experience as counsel in commercial and inter-

national arbitration and in litigation in the federal and state courts of New York, and over 25 years of service as chair, panel and sole arbitrator in international and commercial cases. He serves on the American Arbitration Association (AAA), International Centre for Dispute Resolution (ICDR), International Institute for Conflict Prevention & Resolution, Inc. (CPR) and International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) arbitrator panels and is a Fellow of the College of Commercial Arbitrators (CCA). He is a member of the Executive Committee of the NYSBA Dispute Resolution Section, where he co-chairs its International Dispute Resolution (IDR) Committee and is a presenter at arbitration training programs. He is a member of the New York City Bar’s International Commercial Disputes Committee (ICDC), and of the Executive Committee of the New York International Arbitration Center (NYIAC).

This article was reprinted with permission from the Fall 2014 edition of the NYLitigator, a publication of the Commercial and Federal Litigation Section.

NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION



If you have written an article you would like considered for publication, or have an idea for one, please contact the Co-Editors-in-Chief:

Editor:
Andrew Kowlowitz
Furman Kornfeld & Brennan LLP
61 Broadway, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10006
akowlowitz@fkblaw.com

Editor Emeritus:
Steven B. Prystowsky
Lester Schwab Katz & Dwyer, LLP
100 Wall Street, 27th Floor
New York, NY 10005
sprystowsky@lskdnylaw.com

Articles should be submitted in electronic document format (pdfs are NOT acceptable), along with biographical information.

REQUEST FOR ARTICLES



Section Committees and Chairpersons

The Trial Lawyers Section encourages members to participate in its programs and to contact the Section Officers listed on the back page or the Committee Chairs for further information.

Construction Law

Walter G. Breakell
Breakell Law Firm P.C.
10 Airline Drive
Albany, NY 12205-1025
wbreakell@breakell-law.com

Howard S. Hershenhorn
Gair, Gair, Conason, Steigman,
Mackauf, Bloom & Rubinowitz
80 Pine Street, 34th Floor
New York, NY 10005
hsh@gairgair.com

Continuing Legal Education

Gary A. Cusano
The Law Office of Gary A. Cusano PC
360 Underhill Avenue
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598-4558
gac@cusanolaw.com

Thomas P. Valet
RGLZ Personal Injury Law
15 Jenna Ct.
Holbrook, NY 11741
TPVLawyer@Gmail.com

Criminal Law

Louis V. Fasulo
Pace University School of Law
78 North Broadway, E House
White Plains, NY 10603
lfasulo@law.pace.edu

Diversity

Angelique M. Moreno
Avanzino & Moreno, PC
26 Court Street
Suite 2015
Brooklyn, NY 11242
amoreno@jkavanzino.com

Lawyers Professional Liability and Ethics

Daniel G. Ecker
David B. Lever & Associates, PLLC
120 Bloomingdale Rd.
Suite 401
White Plains, NY 10605
decker@leverinjurylaw.com

Legal Affairs

Michael J. Hutter Jr.
Albany Law School
80 New Scotland Avenue
Albany, NY 12207
mhutt@albanylaw.edu

Medical Malpractice

Thomas P. Valet
RGLZ Personal Injury Law
15 Jenna Ct.
Holbrook, NY 11741
TPVLawyer@Gmail.com

Membership

Sherry Levin Wallach
Wallach & Rendo LLP
239 Lexington Avenue, 2nd Floor
Mount Kisco, NY 10549
wallach@wallachrendo.com

Motor Vehicle Law

Angelique M. Moreno
Avanzino & Moreno, PC
26 Court Street, Suite 2015
Brooklyn, NY 11242
amoreno@jkavanzino.com

Trial Advocacy

Thomas P. Valet
RGLZ Personal Injury Law
15 Jenna Ct.
Holbrook, NY 11741
TPVLawyer@Gmail.com

Workers' Compensation

David Mark Wasserman
Sher Herman Bellone & Tipograph PC
277 Broadway, Suite 1107
New York, NY 10007-2016
dwattorney@yahoo.com

Website

Charles J. Siegel
Law Offices of Charles J. Siegel
125 Broad Street
7th Floor
New York, NY 10004
charles.siegel@cna.com



TRIAL LAWYERS SECTION

Visit us at www.nysba.org/Trial

The Trial Lawyers Section Welcomes New Members

| | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Marijo C. Adimey | Pamela Lee Gallagher | Fred Lichtmacher | Bernard B. Pound |
| Robert G. Androsiglio | Alexana Gaspari | Andrew Matthew Lieb | Vicki J. Prager |
| Charles M. Arnold | Tara N. Gaston | James J. Lillie, Member | Maiaklovsky Preval |
| Siddharth Bahl | Daniel T. Generosa | Ronnie Lindberg | Kathleen M. Prystowsky |
| Jeena Belil | Gregory S. Gennarelli | Max Thomas Lindsey | James R. Ray, III |
| Aytan Y. Bellin | Ralph M. Gerstein | Andrew M. Lippman | Emily Kathryn Raymond |
| Susan Marcia Benjamin | Nona Gillan | Robert Matthew Lyons | Michelle S. Regan |
| Katie L. Bireley | Douglas Gingold | Jared Mack | Daniel C. Ross |
| Joel A. Blanchet | Alexander Gizzo | Megan Maureen Mackenzie | Joanna Michelle Rotenberg |
| JoAnne Marie Bonacci | Samuel Goldman | Helen M. Maher | John Thomas Ryan |
| Brian Scott Brandman | Leonard M. Greci | Linton Mann, III | Barbara A. Sauer |
| Matthew Robert Bremner | Robert J. Gumenick | Hon. Debra A. Martin | Robert M. Schechter |
| Christopher Andrew Brock | Taisa Gurshumova | Kevin M. Mathewson | Steven Schiesel |
| Brian M. Brown | Frank H. Guzman | Lisa Carla Mazure | Mary B. Schiller |
| Michael S. Brown | Kevin M. Habberfield | John H. McDonald | Bart R. Schwartz |
| Brooke Allison Camhi | Catherine Mildred Hannan | Shane McDougall | Brad Alan Schwartz, P.C. |
| Anthony Cappel | Matthew G. Hart | James Francis McKiernan | Jennifer M. Schwartzott |
| Stephen M. Carden | Lindsay Marie Heck | Lauren A. McMillen | Carlo John Sciara |
| Jill L. Cassert | David Steven Henry | Lorraine Medeiros | Jennifer R. Scullion |
| Anne Marie Champion | Jeffrey Louis Hersh | Jack Eli Meek | Daniel J. Scully, Jr. |
| Peter Redfield Chandler | Brian Raymond Hogue | Mark Sherif Meleka | Dennis P. Sedor |
| Peter Citrin | Brian Alexander Hooven | Dominique Josette Mendez | Viktor Semenyuk |
| Bradford M. Cohen | Robert T. Horst | Justin Robert Meyer | Kelsey Walter Shannon |
| Philip H. Cohen | Mark Houston | Tyear Middleton | David A. Shargel |
| La Shuana Cole | Migir Ilganayev | Ryan Walsh Miosek | Sami Edmund Shehadeh |
| Jeffrey David Coren | Brett Ingerman | Jennifer Mok | Saad Turab Siddiqui |
| Lawrence Damien Costanza | John Carlo Jensen | Sheldon Momaney | Stephen A. Skor |
| Judith E. Crumpton | Mr. Matthew B. Johnson. | Vlada Monaenkova | Barry Claude Snyder |
| M. Teresa Daley | Jennifer M. Joseph | Alejandro Monroy | Inessa Spevakova |
| David W.T. Daniels | Paul Andrew Juergensen | Bridget Tina Mullaly | Daniel M. Stewart |
| Babu Ram Devkota | Diane Barbara Kadlec | Carmen A. Nicolaou | Vince Sykes |
| Kyriaki Koula Dimakarakos | Jessica Kalafut | Julie Nociolo | Jomo Gamal Thomas |
| Gloria Djelevic | Michael F. Kashtan | William Patrick Nolan | Leron Thumim |
| Meagan Kate Du Jardin | Ronald J. Katter | Robert C. Noonan | Elena A. Tisnovsky |
| Gerald P. Dwyer | Daniel Mark Katz | James A. Notaris | Brian K. Towey |
| Ingrid A. Effman | Christopher George Kenyon | Chimdi Obiaku Nwosu | Lawrence R. Trank |
| Michelle Entin Maroney | Maura S. Kernan | Cecilia E. O'Connell | Joseph Trotti |
| Connor William Fallon | Michael J. Kerwin | David M. Oddo | Brendan J. Tully |
| Steven E. Fineman | Yong Kim | John J. O'Halloran | Sarah Valentine |
| Sarah Renee Fink | Brett Harris Klein | Kathleen O'Keefe | Brian M. Wagner |
| Hon. Lisa M. Fisher | Dana Marian Koos | Osita Emmanuel Okocha | Kaitlynn Walker |
| James Anglin Flynn | Carl P. Kustell | Heather Michelle Palmore | Michael Daniel Walrath |
| Julie M. Frances | Stainslav Igorevich Ladnik | Grace Lorraine Pan | Abraham Warmbrand |
| Joseph A. French | Jacob F. Lamme | Rosario Parlanti | Richard M. White |
| Jordan M. Freundlich | Richard H. Langsam | Megha Patel | Karen N. Wilson-Robinson |
| Brittany Lynn Froning | Megan A. Lawless | Michele Ann Perlin | Nicola Young |
| Richard M. Gabor | Abbye Lawrence | Jade H. Platania | Jane Jule Zara |
| Peter J. Galasso | Illana Leiser | Heather Pollock | Jesus M. Zeno |
| Everett Edwin Gale | Konstandinos D. Leris | John Ponterio | Carrie A. Zimbardi |

TRIAL LAWYERS SECTION DIGEST

Publication of Articles

The *Digest* welcomes the submission of articles of timely interest to members of the Section. Articles should be submitted electronically (preferably in WordPerfect or Microsoft Word) along with two printed originals. Please submit articles to:

Editor:

Andrew Kowlowitz
Furman Kornfeld & Brennan LLP
61 Broadway, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10006
akowlowitz@fkblaw.com

Editor Emeritus:

Steven B. Prystowsky
Lester Schwab Katz & Dwyer, LLP
100 Wall Street, 27th Floor
New York, NY 10005
sprystowsky@lskdnlaw.com

Unless stated to the contrary, all published articles and materials represent the views of the author(s) only and should not be regarded as representing the views of the Section officers, Executive Committee or Section members or substantive approval of the contents therein.

Accommodations for Persons with Disabilities:

NYSBA welcomes participation by individuals with disabilities. NYSBA is committed to complying with all applicable laws that prohibit discrimination against individuals on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of its goods, services, programs, activities, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations. To request auxiliary aids or services or if you have any questions regarding accessibility, please contact the Bar Center at (518) 463-3200.

The *Digest* is published for members of the Trial Lawyers Section of the New York State Bar Association. Members of the Section receive a subscription free of charge.

Copyright 2017 by the New York State Bar Association.
ISSN 1530-3985 (print) ISSN 1933-8457 (online)

TRIAL LAWYERS SECTION

Section Officers

Chair

Noreen DeWire Grimmick
Hodgson Russ LLP
677 Broadway, Suite 301
Albany, NY 12207
ngrimmic@hodgsonruss.com

Vice-Chair

Violet E. Samuels
Samuels & Associates, PC
135-13 Hook Creek
Rosedale, NY 11422
vesamuels@gmail.com

Secretary

Kevin J. Sullivan
Nichols & Sullivan P.C.
16 Lakeridge Dr. S.
Orchard Park, NY 14127
kevinsullivanlaw@gmail.com

Treasurer

Betty Lugo
Pacheco & Lugo, PLLC
340 Atlantic Avenue
Brooklyn, NY 11201-5870
blugo@pachecolugo.com

NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Find us Online

Find the Trial Lawyers Section Digest Online:

- Past Issues (2001-present) of the Trial Lawyers Section Digest*
- Trial Lawyers Section Digest Searchable Index (2001-present)

*You must be a Trial Lawyer Section member and logged in to access the Digest. Need password assistance? Visit our website at www.nysba.org/pwhelp. For questions or log-in help, call 518-463-3200.

www.nysba.org/TrialLawyersDigest



From the NYSBA Book Store

Preparing For and Trying the Civil Lawsuit, 2d Ed, 2016 Rev

More than 30 of New York State's leading trial practitioners and other experts reveal the techniques and tactics they have found most effective when trying a civil lawsuit. Expert commentary and numerous practice tips guide you through all aspects of a civil lawsuit, from discovery to appeals. A thorough discussion of pretrial preparation and investigation will aid the attorney in obtaining an advantageous settlement even if the case never goes to trial.

Especially helpful are the excerpts from actual trial transcripts, which illustrate the effectiveness of certain lines of questioning. Trial attorneys will benefit by using the book to supplement and reinforce their own methods of practice. The 2016 Revision includes updates to the previous edition, as well as a new chapter on Attorney-Client Privilege.

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Neil A. Goldberg, Esq.; John P. Freedenberg, Esq.

PRODUCT INFO AND PRICES

Print: 41955 | 2016 | 1,528 pages | 2 vols. | **NYSBA Members \$185** | Non-Members \$235

E-book: 41955E | 2016 | 1,528 pages | 2 vols. | **NYSBA Members \$185** | Non-Members \$235

Free shipping and handling within the continental U.S. The cost for shipping and handling outside the continental U.S. will be added to your order. Prices do not include applicable sales tax.


Get the Information Edge

NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

1.800.582.2452 www.nysba.org/pubs

Mention Code: PUB8572N

*Discount good until May 20, 2017



Section
Members get
20%
discount*
with coupon code
PUB8572N





NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
TRIAL LAWYERS SECTION

One Elk Street, Albany, New York 12207-1002

NON PROFIT ORG.
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
ALBANY, N.Y.
PERMIT NO. 155

NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION **TRIAL LAWYERS SECTION**

