

















Exclusive Dealing Arrangements



- Methodist Health Servs. Corp. v. OSF Healthcare Sys. 859 F. 3d 408 (7th Cir. 2017)
- Competitor hospital alleged that certain exclusive dealing agreements between the largest hospital in the area and major payers (e.g. Blue Cross) substantially foreclosed its ability to compete for insured patients' business.
- District court refused to conclude that the contract alone was enough to prove foreclosure, focusing on how competition works in the market to determine that any foreclosure of plaintiffs was the same.
- Certain patients were not excluded: foreclosure amounted to 15-22%
- Agreements were renegotiated every 1-2 years
- Seventh Cir. affirmed, focusing on (1) plaintiff's periodic opportunities to become the exclusive provider (noting that competition-for-the-contract is a form of competition that is protected by the antitrust laws); and (2) lack of harm to consumers, pointing out that no insurers, other hospitals, or the DOJ had joined suit.

© 2019 Winston & Strawn LLP

9

Most Favored Nations ("MFN") Clauses

- MFN clauses guarantee a party to a contract that it is receiving the best deal that the other party will offer to anyone (e.g. no one will pay a lower price for the same good).
- MFN clauses are generally considered pro-competitive, but DOJ has challenged their use by companies with monopoly power.







