

Section 162(m) After the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act

Gretchen Harders

NYSBA Labor & Employment (Ithaca, NY) September 20, 2019

© 2019 Epstein Becker & Green, P.C. | All Rights Reserved

ebglaw.com

•1

Presented by



Gretchen Harders
Member of the Firm
gharders@ebglaw.com
212-351-3784

D 2019 Epstein Becker & Green, P.C. | All Rights Reserved. | ebglaw.com

Section 162(m) Before the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act

- Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code ("Section 162(m)") generally limits annual deductions for compensation paid to covered employees of publicly traded companies to \$1 million
- Before the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) on December 22, 2017, Section 162(m) provided for exceptions to the \$1 million deduction limit by:
 - **1. Excluding qualified performance-based compensation,** which covered many stock options and various forms of incentive compensation;
 - 2. Generally applying only to companies with publicly traded equity; and
 - 3. Covering only the company's CEO and the company's next three most highly compensated executive officers (other than the CFO) for the taxable year, which enabled deferral of payments until executive officers ceased to be covered employees.

© 2019 Epstein Becker & Green, P.C. | All Rights Reserved. | ebglaw.com

BECKER GREEN

•3

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act's Changes to Section 162(m)

- Post-TCJA, \$1 million deductibility limit under Section 162(m) applies more broadly
- The TCJA amended Section 162(m) by:
 - 1. Eliminating the qualified performance-based compensation exception;
 - 2. Expanding the scope of covered companies to include any company that is required to file reports under Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (e.g., Forms 10Q, 10-K and 20-F); and
 - 3. Expanding the definition of a covered employee to include the CFO and to cover any individual who was a covered employee during any taxable year beginning on or after January 1, 2017, even after he terminates employment.

© 2019 Epstein Becker & Green, P.C. | All Rights Reserved. | ebglaw.com

Section 162(m) After the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act

- The TCJA's changes to Section 162(m) do not apply to compensation granted under "grandfathered contracts"
 - Pursuant to a transition rule, the changes exempt written binding contracts in effect as of November 2, 2017, so long as those contracts are not materially modified
 - On August 21, 2018, IRS Notice 2018-68 clarified that compensation is not grandfathered if companies are permitted to exercise negative discretion to reduce or eliminate the award amount, regardless of whether that discretion is exercised, unless the employee has a legally binding right to that compensation under state law

© 2019 Epstein Becker & Green, P.C. | All Rights Reserved. | ebglaw.com

EPSTEIN BECKER GREEN

•5

How Do Changes to Section 162(m) Affect Compensation Design?

- The elimination of the performance-based compensation exception to Section 162(m)'s \$1 million deductibility limit may not greatly affect compensation design:
 - The lost tax deduction under Section 162(m) is worth less than before the TCJA due to the TCJA's lowering of the top corporate tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent
 - Companies have other reasons for seeking shareholder approval of compensation plans, apart from
 complying with the now-eliminated performance-based compensation exception to Section 162(m)'s
 \$1 million deductibility limit
 - Performance-based compensation remains an important factor in drafting pay packages, despite the loss of the tax advantage associated with the performance-based compensation exception:
 - o Shareholders and proxy advisory firms still seek pay for performance
 - o Companies still want to incentivize executives through performance-based pay

© 2019 Epstein Becker & Green, P.C. | All Rights Reserved. | ebglaw.com

How Should Companies React to the Changes to Section 162(m)?

- However, consider whether to retain, amend or replace existing incentive plans:
 - Agreements that may have been up for amendment may be retained to preserve the grandfathered contracts exemption from Section 162(m)
 - Amend the executive officer pool so that less officers would be covered employees under Section 162(m), given the now-extended duration of covered employer status
 - Stock options may no longer be as attractive an award type due to the elimination of the performance-based compensation exception
 - Generous post-employment severance packages may no longer be as tax-advantageous, due to the now-extended duration of covered employee status under Section 162(m)

© 2019 Epstein Becker & Green, P.C. | All Rights Reserved. | ebglaw.com