REPORT #717

TAX SECTION

New York State Bar Association

Letter on Section 5803

Table of Contents

Cover Letter:.....i

Tax Report #717

JOHN A. CORRY Chair 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza New York City 10005 212/530-4608

PETER C. CANELLOS First Vice-Chair 299 Park Avenue New York City 10171

212/371-9200 MICHAEL L. SCHLER Second Vice-Chair Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenu New York City 10019 212/474-1588

CAROLYN JOY LEE ICHEL Secretary 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York City 10112 212/903-8761

COMMITTEE CHAIRS Bankruptcy

Stuart J. Golding New York City Dennis E. Ross, New York City Compliance and Penalties Robert S. Fink, New York City Arnold Y. Kapiloff, New York City **Consolidated Returns** Yaron 7 Reich New York City Irving Salem, New York City **Continuing Legal Education** Brookes D. Billman, Jr. New York City Thomas V. Glynn, New York City Corporations Richard L. Reinhold, New York City Dana Trier, New York City Estate and Trusts Kim E. Baptiste, New York City Steven M. Loeb, New York City Financial Instruments Jodi J. Schwartz, New York City Esta E. Stecher, New York City Financial Intermediaries Bruce Kayle, New York City Hugh T. McCormick, New York City Foreign Activities of U.S. Taxpayers Stanley I. Rubenfeld, New York City Steven C. Todrys, New York City Income from Real Property Stephen L. Millman, New York City Michelle P. Scott, Newark, NJ Individuals Michael Hirschfeld, New York City Sherry S. Kraus, Rochester Net Operating Losses Jeffrey M. Cole, New York City Kenneth H. Heitner, New York City New York City Tax Matters Robert J. Levinsohn, New York City Robert Plautz, New York City New York State Tax Maters Robert E. Brown, Rochester James A. Locke, Buffalo Nongualified Employee Benefits Stephen T. Lindo, New York City Loran T. Thompson, New York City Partnerships Joe Scharstein, New York City R. Donald Turlington, New York City Pass-Through Entities William B. Brannan, New York City Thomas A. Humphreys, New York City Practice and Procedure Donald C. Alexander, Washington, D. C. Victor F. Keen, New York City Qualified Plans Stuart N. Alperin, New York City Kenneth C. Edgar, Jr., New York City Reorganizations Robert A. Jacobs, New York City Richard M. Leder, New York City Sales. Property and Miscellaneous E. Parker Brown, II, Syracuse Paul R. Comeau, Buffalo State and Local Arthur R. Rosen, New York City Sterling L. Weaver, Rochester Tax Accounting Matters Elliot Pisem, New York City Mary Kate Wold, New York City Tax Exempt Bonds Linda D'Onofrio, New York City Patti T. Wu, New York City **Tax Exempt Entitles** Harvey P. Dale, New York City Franklin L. Green, New York City Tax Policy Andrew N. Berg, New York City Victor Zonana, New York City Tax Preferences and AMT Katherine M. Bristor, New York City Stuart J. Gross. New York City

U.S. Activities of Foreign Taxpayers Roger J. Baneman, New York City Kenneth R. Silbergleit, New York City

TAX SECTION New York State Bar Association

M. Bernard Aidinoff Reuven Avi-Yonah David H. Bamberger MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE Cynthia G. Beerbower William M. Colby

Charles M. Morgan, III Edward D. Kleinbard Ronald A. Pearlman James A. Levitan Richard O. Loengard, Jr. Mikel M. Rollyson

Eugene L. Vogel David E. Watts Philip R. West

April 20, 1992

The Honorable Dan Rostenkowski Chair, House Ways & Means Committee House of Representatives Rayburn 2111 Washington, DC 20515

Harold R. Handler

The Honorable Lloyd Bentsen Chair, Senate Finance Committee United States Senate Hart 703 Washington, DC 20510 Dear Sirs:

Dear Sirs:

Section 5803 of H.R. 4210 as passed by Congress last month generally provides that any temporary or proposed regulation shall apply only prospectively from the date it was published in the Federal Register. Although H.R. 4 210 was vetoed and did not become law, we are concerned that a similar provision might be included in tax legislation that might be enacted at some future date. We strongly oppose enactment of such a rule because any widesweeping prohibition of this sort in many instances will impair, rather than facilitate, the proper administration of the tax law.¹

Howard O. Colgan Charles L. Kades Carter T. Louthan Samuel Brodsky Thomas C. Plowden-Wardlaw Edwin M. Jones Hon. Hugh R. Jones Peter Miller

FORMER CHAIRMEN OF SECTION John W. Fager

John E. Morrissey Jr. Charles E. Heming

Richard H. Appert

Ralph O. Winger

Hewitt A. Conway

Peter L. Faber

Martin D. Ginsburg

Renato Beghe Alfred D. Youngwood Gordon D. Henderson David Sachs J. Roger Mentz Willard B. Taylor Richard J. Hiegel

Dale S. Collinson Richard G. Cohen Donald Schapiro Herbert L. Camp William L. Burke Arthur A. Feder James M. Peaslee

¹ We plan subsequently to submit comments with respect to certain other provisions contained in the "Taxpayer Bill of Rights" provisions of H.R. 4210, including specifically the provision in section 5704 that would impose personal liability on Internal Revenue Service employees in certain cases.

I.R.C. Section 7805(b) currently provides that the Secretary may prescribe the extent, if any, to which any ruling or regulation shall be applied without retroactive effect. Section 5803 would amend section 7805(b) to provide that any temporary or proposed regulation must apply prospectively from the date of its publication in the Federal Register. Under the proposal retroactivity is permitted only (i) to prevent abuse of the statute to which the regulation relates, (ii) to correct a procedural defect in the issuance of any prior regulation and (iii) where the secretary provides "for any taxpayer to elect to apply any temporary or proposed regulation retroactively from the date of publication of such regulation in the Federal Register." Further, the prospective applicability mandated by the amendment may be superseded by Congressional action authorizing the Secretary to prescribe the effective date with respect to a statutory provision.

Read literally, section 5803 would preclude the adoption of a regulation with an effective date subsequent to the date of its publication in the Federal Register. This point should be clarified since there frequently are situations in which, in order to provide taxpayers time to adapt to new regulatory provisions, regulations provide for prospective effective dates.

We further note that section 5803 would apply to any temporary or proposed regulation published before February 20, 1992 and published as a final regulation after that date. This means that many proposed regulations that presently are outstanding and that would be effective prior to the date of their publication will no longer be effective for that period notwithstanding reliance therein by both taxpayers and the Service². Thus, a statute that is intended to discourage retroactivity will in fact apply retroactively. This effective date provision should be modified.

ii

² Under Treas. Reg. § 1.6662-4(d)(3)(iii) proposed regulations constitute substantial authority for purposes of the accuracy related penalty provisions of IRC § 6662(d)(2)(B)(i).

Our major objection to section 5803, however, is to its substantive provisions. The premise behind Section 5803 seems to be that current law has allowed the Service to administer the tax law inequitably or inappropriately. No support for that premise has been aired or evaluated. We know of no crisis or emergency situation that, without testing that premise, warrants a complete reversal of the rule contained in Section 7805(b), which has remained in the Code substantially unchanged since 1934. To the contrary, three years ago, the Planning Committee of the American Bar Association Section of Taxation published a report that concluded that although some deficiencies existed, "7805(b) actions have been taken, by and large, intelligently"³ and that "in the main, the Treasury and Service have attempted to administer section 7805(b) reasonably.⁴ This has been our experience as well.

While proposed Section 5803 is a rule to which the system over time could perhaps adjust, there are likely to be significant costs in the adjustment process. One can reasonably anticipate litigation as to its scope and application. This is particularly likely in the case of interpretative regulations, where the Service and taxpayers would dispute whether a particular assessment is based on a valid statute or an invalid retroactive application of the regulations interpreting the statute. Moreover, even where prospective treatment is clear, the status of issues covered by the regulations for taxable years occurring before their publication would remain uncertain.

⁴ <u>Id</u>. at 664. The Tax Section recently has commenced a study which is likely to focus principally on the Service's approach to retroactive application of "legislative" regulations. We anticipate that the study will offer a proposal for the appropriate retroactive application of such regulations.

³ American Bar Association, Section of Taxation Planning Committee, <u>Report on Exercise by the Treasury Department and the</u> <u>Internal Revenue Service of the Authority Granted by Internal</u> <u>Revenue Code Section 7805(b) to Prescribe the Extent to which Tax</u> <u>Rulings and Regulations Shall be Applied without Retroactive</u> <u>Effect, 42 Tax Lawyer 621 (1989).</u>

Accordingly, both the Service and taxpayers would be free to assert positions on audit -- and in the courts -- most favorable to them.

The possibility of regulations being issued retroactively is one of the significant constraints on taxpayers taking the most aggressive possible positions with respect to newly enacted legislation (or longstanding legislation for which there are no regulations governing the interpretative issue in question). If this threat were materially reduced and taxpayers were free to challenge all regulations as being improperly issued with retroactive effect, we fear that there would be an explosion of aggressive reporting positions and aggressively structured transactions which would produce significant revenue losses and administrative difficulties. This problem is of even greater concern given the recent legislative tendency to cede broad interpretative authority under many complex revenue statutes to the Secretary.

We recognize that, under either section 5803(b)(4) or otherwise, Congress can specifically direct that regulations can be applied retroactively to the date of a statute's enactment. On the other hand, under current law Congress also can provide that the regulation interpreting any Code amendment is to be applied prospectively only. For that reason, Section 5803 is unnecessary.

We therefore strongly recommend that section 7805(b) not be amended without careful study and input from all interested parties, including the Treasury Department, the Internal Revenue Service, taxpayers and their representatives. We will be happy to participate in that effort.

Very truly yours,

John A. Corry Chair

cc: Harry L. Gutman, Esq. Chief of Staff Joint Committee on Taxation 1015 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515 The Honorable Shirley Peterson Commissioner of Internal Revenue 1111 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20224

The Honorable Fred T. Goldberg, Jr. Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) Department of the Treasury 1500 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Room 3120 Washington, DC 20220

Thomas R. Hood, Esq. Counsellor to the Commissioner Internal Revenue Service Room 3316 1111 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20224