## SEPARATE REPRESENTATION LETTER

RE: Mr. & Mrs		Estate Planning	
Our File No			
Dear	and	: 🖍	
-	in my office for our initi		, I
	-	n your estate planning; that one or firm; that each of you could	_
	3	counsel you both together as j	
• •	•	er of you so choose. You both	
•		, and rather than either or both	• • •
•	•	this letter in order to repeat, ar	* *
•	th at that meeting, so that ea	nch of you can review and furth	ner consider your
initial decision			

In negotiating any *contract* between two parties, each of the parties should, except in the most extraordinary of circumstances, be represented by an attorney from a different firm. This is because a *contract*, once entered into, binds both parties and therefore cannot be changed unilaterally by either. In the estate planning field, a marriage or pre-marriage contract (or a contract to make a will in those jurisdictions, other than Louisiana, that permit this) is an example of such a *contract* requiring separate-firm representation.

By contrast, the establishment of grits (outright or in trust) and the preparation of wills are examples of noncontractual, individual actions that leave each person free to make unilateral changes in his or her future plans without the knowledge or acquiescence of any other person. Since your estate planning does not involve any planned marriage contract, each of you is free to make any desired changes in your plan unilaterally, regardless of what lawyer each of you uses. Therefore, the choice of having me represent both of you jointly (on the one hand) or separately (on the other hand) is available to each of you, and I therefore am detailing the differences.

On the one hand, if I were to represent both of you *jointly*, I would have to immediately tell the other anything that one of you later told me in confidence that related to his or her estate planning, since not to reveal such information to the other would be a violation of the attorney-client joint relationship. This might inhibit each of you from telling me something in confidence that you thought I needed to know, because you would realize that I would be forced to disclose it to the other.

On the other hand, if I were to represent each of you *separately*, I would have to keep in confidence, and *conceal* from the other, anything later told me in confidence by one of you even though it *prejudiced* what the other had relied upon in making his or her own estate plan, including such differ-